Candidate Details

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Degree** | Choose an item. |
| **Candidate Name** |  |
| **Student Number** |  |

Examiners’ Recommendations

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Examiner Name** |  |
| **Examiner Name** |  |
| **Examiner Name** (Doctoral only) |  |
|[ ]  **Re-Examination Outcome** (select if this form is being used to recommend an outcome for a re-examination) |

Examination Outcome (please refer to Examination Outcome Guidelines)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Primary examination** – select one of the following | Selection |
| 1. | **The candidate should be awarded the degree and no corrections to the thesis are required.**There are no errors or omissions that need amendment beyond typographical corrections. |[ ]
| 2. | **The candidate should be awarded the degree subject to making the minor amendments and corrections specified in my report.** The minor amendments do not alter the substance of the thesis in any fundamental manner or require significant reworking or reinterpretation of the argument, outcomes, or academic content of the thesis. The candidate has up to three (3) months to submit their amended thesis, subject to the satisfaction of the Principal Supervisor and Head of School or delegate.  |[ ]
| 3. | **The candidate should be awarded the degree subject to making major amendments and corrections to the thesis as specified in my report.**There are major amendments, such as structural changes, additions, or parts of the thesis that require significant revision or rewriting as specified by the examiner. The candidate has up to six (6) months to submit their amended thesis, subject to the satisfaction of the Principal Supervisor and Head of School or delegate. |[ ]
| 4. | **The candidate should revise and resubmit the thesis for re-examination.** The thesis does not yet meet required standards and the degree cannot be awarded without re-submission and re-examination. The thesis requires significant structural or content changes and major revisions. The amendments to the thesis must be based on the examination reports and sent to the examiners for re-assessment to check that the candidate has addressed all concerns. The original examiners will be requested to re-examine the revised thesis if possible. Each examiner will be provided with the reports of all original examiners when reviewing the resubmitted thesis. The candidate must complete a further period of research and/or writing of up to twelve (12) months and submit a revised thesis for re-examination. A revised thesis can only be submitted for re-examination once. |[ ]
| 5. | **The candidate should not be awarded the degree (non-award/ fail classification).**The thesis has substantive, irredeemable flaws in scholarship, research or argumentation that render it inadequate for the award of a higher degree by research. |[ ]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Re-Examination** – select one of the following | Selection |
| 1. | **The candidate should be awarded the degree and no further corrections to the thesis are required.**There are no further errors or omissions that need amendment beyond typographical corrections. |[ ]
| 2. | **The candidate should be awarded the degree subject to making further minor amendments and corrections specified in my report.** The minor amendments do not alter the substance of the thesis in any fundamental manner or require significant reworking or reinterpretation of the argument, outcomes, or academic content of the thesis. The candidate has up to three (3) months to submit their amended thesis, subject to the satisfaction of the Principal Supervisor and Head of School or delegate. |[ ]
| 3. | **The candidate should be awarded the degree subject to making further major amendments and corrections to the thesis as specified in my report.**There are major amendments, such as structural changes, additions, or parts of the thesis that require significant revision or rewriting as specified by the examiner. The candidate has up to six (6) months to submit their amended thesis, subject to the satisfaction of the Principal Supervisor and Head of School or delegate. |[ ]
| 4. | **The candidate should not be awarded the degree (non-award/ fail classification).**The thesis has substantive, irredeemable flaws in scholarship, research or argumentation that render it inadequate for the award of a higher degree by research. |[ ]

Recommendation Rationale

Summarise key points from each examiners’ report and the rationale taken to select the above outcome.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **I have conformed to the Examination Outcome Guidelines** | Choose an item. |
| \*Reason for not conforming to the guidelines: |

|  |
| --- |
|  |
| **Chair of Examiners** |  |  |  |  | Click or tap to enter a date. |  |
|  | **Name** |  | **Signature** |  | **Date** |  |

Integrity Concerns or Divergent Examiner Reports (Head of School or Delegate)

This section is to be used where examiners raise integrity concerns and/or recommend divergent outcomes. Divergent outcomes means the outcomes recommended vary significantly and it is difficult to make a determination. In this case the Head of School or delegate must be consulted.

Head of School or delegate, summarise the rationale taken to select the above outcome.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
|  |
| **Head of School or delegate** |  |  |  |  | Click or tap to enter a date. |  |
|  | **Name** |  | **Signature** |  | **Date** |  |