

University of New England

School of Economic Studies

An Analysis of the Criteria Used by Australian Local Government Amalgamation Inquiries Between 1960 and 1992

by

Stephen Soul and Brian Dollery

No. 2000-9- August 2000

Working Paper Series in Economics

ISSN 1442 2980

http://www.une.edu.au/febl/EconStud/wps.htm

Copyright © 2000 by Stephen Soul and Brian Dollery. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided this copyright notice appears on all such copies. ISBN 1 86389 705 4

An Analysis of the Criteria Used by Australian Local Government Amalgamation Inquiries Between 1960 and 1992

Stephen Soul and Brian Dollery**

Abstract

Between 1960 and 1992 Australian state governments held numerous formal Inquiries into the question of appropriate local government boundaries. The deliberations and subsequent reports produced by these Inquiries provides an instructive and informative historical background to contemporary debates surrounding the controversial issue of local government amalgamation. This paper outlines and examines the major criteria invoked by these Inquiries. It is argued that not only are many criteria intrinsically incoherent, but that they have also generally been inconsistently applied to the problem of local government amalgamation.

Key Words: amalgamation; local government boundaries, restructuring

^{**} Stephen Soul is an Associate Member of the Centre for Local Government at the University of New England and Brian Dollery is an Associate Professor in the School of Economic Studies at the University of New England. Contact information: School of Economic Studies, University of New England, Armidale, NSW 2351, Australia. Email: bdollery@metz.une.edu.au.

An Analysis of the Criteria Used by Australian Local Government Amalgamation Inquiries Between 1960 and 1992

Although the microeconomic reform process is undoubtedly less advanced in local government compared to the state and Commonwealth governments, it has nevertheless had a decisive impact on the local government sector. Reforms currently underway include regulatory reform, benchmarking and performance measurement, competitive tendering and contracting out, competition policy, and restructuring. Of these reforms, restructuring or amalgamation is perhaps the most controversial item on the agenda of Australian local government. Contemporary conventional wisdom holds that the enforced amalgamations of small municipal councils will result in substantial economies of scale and scope, despite some international evidence to the contrary (Dollery, 1997). Acting on this presumption, Australian state governments have recently reduced the number of councils in Victoria, South Australia, Queensland, and Tasmania.

In contrast to the current exclusive emphasis on the putative economic efficiencies attendant upon amalgamation, past investigations developed and invoked a far broader range of criteria on the desirability or otherwise of local government restructuring. As an historical backdrop to contemporary policy on amalgamations, it is instructive to examine earlier thinking on local government restructuring as manifested in the various official Inquiries over the past several decades. This forms the subject matter of the present paper. We attempt to identify the major criteria advanced by these Inquiries prior to 1992 and then seek to demonstrate that, in general, not only were these criteria intrinsically incoherent, but they were also inconsistently applied.

In 1984 the Advisory Council for Intergovernment Relations (ACIR) reviewed the official Inquiries that have been conducted in Australia on local government boundaries and restructuring since 1960 and produced a discussion paper on the criteria applied by each of those Inquiries relating to community, economic, geographic and inter-governmental considerations. Criteria relating to political considerations were not thought to be of sufficient importance to be separately categorised, and were included as a community consideration. Some Inquiries were required to establish the criteria against which the review should be determined while others were commissioned to conduct a review against a criteria determined by state governments. Inquiries conducted during this period differed markedly in their assessment of the scope and relative importance of these criteria and this often led to criticism of the criteria, the research methods

used and the eventual recommendations.

We address these criteria in the order outlined by ACIR (1984). They are those relating to community factors, such as community of interest, the wishes of the people, historical and cultural factors, democratic representation and political participation, to economic factors, such as financial viability, economies of scale, administrative efficiency and qualitative factors, to geographic factors, such as natural features and physical contiguity, accessibility, population, urban development, the interdependence of town and country and the economic importance of the institution to the community, and finally to intergovernmental considerations, such as complementary roles and inter-governmental effects.

Criteria relating to community factors

1. Community of Interest

Notions of community of interest are difficult, if not impossible, to define. It is often claimed that community of interest in local government is government conducted locally by the local people for the benefit of the local area (Gifford 1967). Hillery (1955) considered almost 100 different definitions of community, identifying several common definitive attributes, including territory, a sense of belonging and common social norms and interaction. An Australian perspective was put by Purdie (1976) who alluded to the gathering of a substantial group of people who have a number of interests in common who feel a sense of belonging. Reiss (1970) also found difficulty in defining the term and suggested that the concept be established spatially or territorially. Others, like Palm (1973), sought to differentiate between the spatial and social aspects of community. Margaret Thatcher's now famous statement that abstract notions of community did not exist in the real world, and only individuals and families are recognisable social and economic entities, all tended to weaken the concept of community in recent times (Cooke 1990). Nevertheless, the ACIR (1984) concluded that community of interest was regarded by some Inquiries as a great source of strength for communities.

There was a flurry of activity on the development of theory on the concept of community of interest in the immediate post-WWII era, with writers like Gilbert (1948), Lipman (1952) and Green (1956). For example, Green (1956) proposed that urban identities of various sizes and characteristics are naturally formed. He cites these as: (a) centres - which are towns or villages; (b) hinterlands - which adjoin centres which are more accessible than others; and (c) subsidiary centres and hinterlands - which qualify as a centre but actually contain the hinterland which is as

equally well serviced as the centre itself and the population of which is seamlessly included with the whole of the centre.

Green (1956) also proposed an order of centres based on various grades. Those grades are: (i) First order - a metropolitan centre; (ii) Second order - a provincial capital; (iii) Third order - a major regional centre; (iv) Fourth order - an ordinary regional or district capital; and (v) Fifth order - a service village.

Within a spatial context, it is difficult or even impossible to establish a theoretical or practical 'ideal' regional area. The closest approximation that researchers have devised is the retail or production-oriented spatial systems of Reilly (1929) and Losch (1954). There are some similarities in both offerings with Reilly (1929) seeking to evaluate the forces which linked population magnitude, distance and the volume of retail trade in a given constellation of city and town nodes, and Losch (1954), who sought to map out sites of production according to their relationship to established commercial networks and markets. Whilst these theories have sought to find a common thread among various places across the spatial landscape which would be generally applicable to one another, they have not been especially successful in achieving this end, even in a conceptual sense.

Berry (1987) also sought to establish a relationship between community of interest and local government areas but was similarly unsuccessful although he identified a multiplicity of horizontal and vertical templates of communities of interest marbled through and overlapping each other in a spatially disorderly manner. Berry noted that Australian local government boundary inquiries have taken conflicting positions on the significance of community of interest in determining new structures for local government and reported a reluctance by many committees to consider community of interest over adequacy of economic viability. However, he observed that the 1974 Western Australian Royal Commission held that community of interest was of 'great significance' to the delimitation of jurisdictions. These contradictions are almost certainly caused by the absence of a clear definition and theoretical foundation of community of interest, from the inherent difficulty in spatially identifying and delimiting communities of interest and from the fundamentally subjective nature of the concept.

Berry emphasised the fact that constant economic, social and demographic changes within a jurisdiction would diminish any prospect of identifying the existence, let alone the boundaries of communities of interest. Berry's multi-layered overlay approach replicated the spatial delimitation framework developed earlier by Herbert and Johnson (1978) and is a useful

methodology for identifying and describing urban, geographic and social perspectives. However, this approach is similarly unable to establish clear spatial jurisdiction delimitations. Many local government boundaries have existed, unchanged, for over a century despite significant technological advances in transport and communication and radically changed trends and circumstances in industry, economics, population and living patterns. Community of interest may well be the most established formal administrative spatial overlay but it is only a small component of the societal complex.

It would thus appear reasonable to argue that it would be difficult for empirical research to establish a genuine, unchanging community of interest or sphere of influence for administrative, social, economic or political containment purposes. There is considerable research effort directed toward creating administrative zones based on telephone traffic, travel to work, newspaper circulation, postcodes, ABS areas, school zones, club membership areas and even local government areas as a basis to represent communities of interest, but these zones differ substantially in size and shape, and are rarely identical. Community of interest may be important as a cohesive social glue which binds people together, but it is not an ordered mosaic; rather it is a myriad of complex overlays of social, economic and political contiguity, some of which are very small neighbourhood overlays, while others are large, such as state or even national overlays. It would seem thus to be difficult to comprehensively justify the adoption of any one spatial overlay for administrative purposes over another unless it is delimited by geography.

Purdie (1976) argued that community of interest was not destroyed by the placing of a line on a map and evidenced this by the fact that many local government areas exhibit discernible communities of interest within them. If indeed there was veracity in the community of interest argument, then it might also be used to rationalise municipal numbers in instances where strong communities of interest are divided by local government borders or to match the many discernible communities that are contained within existing local government jurisdictions. There are many examples of such division in Australia, and in NSW which "cut across and divide communities whose interest, needs and aspirations are substantially the same" (LAEBR 1990:16). The significant variation in size of Australian local government, from just 45 people to over 800,000, suggests that community of interest has little to do with social or economic cohesion or collectiveness. While there may be valid arguments against dividing a strong community of interest among two or more jurisdictions, there is no valid defence to an amalgamation which proposes to contain a series of whole communities within a single jurisdiction and, in the face of the putative social, political and economic advantages in forming larger local communities,

arguments to retain a spatial delimitation of a jurisdiction based on a single measure of community of interest are difficult to sustain (Berry 1987).

A prime reason for local government boundaries is to define a convenient area of land for administrative and legal purposes and for the convenience of the public where it can most effectively, efficiently and economically carry out its functions (Dickson1981). State Inquiries which considered local government to be more than a service provider or an agency of a higher tier of government, felt it important that the roots of local government be derived from strong community support rather than from legislation imposed by higher authority and that to downgrade or ignore completely local government's relationship with its community is to deny it any role other than that prescribed by state governments for service delivery (ACIR 1984). However, after expressing this idealistic view, the ACIR went on to emphasize the difficulty in gauging and applying this measure to their considerations and (with the exception of the 1974 WA Royal Commission) the fact that many Inquiries ignored or generally disregard community of interest and tended to recommend significant changes to the boundaries of local government. Some of the recent Inquiries were critical of the separation of country towns and their hinterland and have recognised that the "outcome of this philosophy has been that the shires are often little more than roading authorities" and "a readily identifiable example of duplication of buildings, equipment and staff" (ACIR 1984:64). The Bains Victorian Board of Review (1979) refuted the generally accepted view of a community of interest from its own empirical research, proposing that the (arbitrary) redrawing of municipal boundaries would do far less damage than was previously supposed. There is now convincing empirical evidence that town and country areas are interdependent (Maher and McKay 1980) and their continued separation is "unjustified" and "a major contributing factor to the fragmentation of local authority, (an) unnatural dividing of communities, fostering parochialism (and) the dissipation of resources and effort" (Barnett 1974:32).

This conclusion was supported also by the 1972 Local Government Commission of New Zealand and Purdie (1976:12) who agreed that local government boundaries do not coincide with local communities of interest by claiming that "to wholly identify the local community of interest with the local government area would be a fallacy". Community of interest is an important factor in developing volunteerism and a sense of communal belonging and local government has the capacity to become the focus of a local community identity. It can also provide the most appropriate and effective avenue of expression for the collective community of interest (Purdie 1976). In a further comment on the community of interest debate, Newman (1980:21) held that

community of interest need not and will not be lost if it is contained as a "small, distinct subhabitation" within a much "larger urban milieu" of an administrative and political jurisdiction.

Closely aligned to the concept of community of interest is the contemporary notion of "social capital". Since Eva Cox's widely acclaimed 1997 Boyer lectures on the ABC's Radio National, social capital has come into increasing prominence in Australian policy debates on regional economic and social inequalities, especially the question of the decline of rural communities. Following Putnam (1993), social capital has been differentiated from other forms of capital, such as physical infrastructure, state-owned enterprises and state expenditures on social services, and now generally refers to "features of social organisation, such as networks, norms, and trust, that facilitate coordination and cooperation for mutual benefit" (Stayner 1999, p.1). Bolton (1992) has added a spatial dimension to the concept of social capital by linking it to the idea of "sense of place", meaning "a complex of intangible characteristics of place that make it attractive to actual and potential residents and influences their behaviour in observable ways" (Bolton 1992, p.139). Moreover, Bolton (1992, p.194) has argued persuasively that the returns to this form of social capital can have substantial positive effects: "General measure of security - security of stable expectations, and security of being able to operate in a familiar environment and to trust other citizens, merchants, workers, etc. There is also a basic feeling of pleasure of living in a community, or knowing that others live in such a community, that has been created by a combination of social interactions in a particular setting. A sense of place has the characteristics of a local public good, in that some of the returns are external to the persons who made the sacrifices of investment."

Growing regional inequalities in Australia (Raskall 1995), and the emerging phenomenon of a new underclass in declining small rural towns, has led some scholars to ponder the role of social capital in arresting and even reversing these ominous trends. From the perspective of public policy, the question arises as to what specific kinds of action should be undertaken by local, State and Commonwealth governments. Stayner (1999, p.5) has put the matter thus:

"If the sense of place is a valuable social asset for the larger region and nation, what are the appropriate roles for state and national governments. Are there appropriate policy instruments?"

Although state and federal governments can doubtless play a pivotal role in the accumulation of social capital and any concomitant revitalisation of poor rural communities, some commentators have argued that cities and communities, rather than national and state governments, hold the keys to the health of peripheral rural and provincial areas (Foldvary 1994). However, these

arguments have generally been advanced in the context of American and British local governments which have a broader range of local functions than Australian municipalities. Accordingly, the question naturally arises as to whether local governments, with their much narrower sphere of activities, could perform a similar role.

2. Wishes of the People

One of the most compelling arguments in support of the need to restructure local government is the need for greater equity within and among municipalities (Bowman1976; Jones 1993). For instance, affluent rate-payers who live in high value properties and enjoy low local rates will strongly resist amalgamation with jurisdictions that have high welfare demands proportionately higher rate levels and low property values. It is similarly unlikely that constituents in large, well-developed and established areas in the inner-suburban or provincial areas would genuinely wish to be joined with the newly-created, rapidly developing outer-suburban jurisdictions which need significant capital investment to build infrastructure or with long-established rust-belt jurisdictions close to the city with their limited resources, high crime rates and social dysfunction (ACIR 1984). Both of the Royal Commissions conducted in 1974 in Western Australia and South Australia commented on a need to address the overall effect of restructuring on the social and economic well-being of the region as a whole, believing that it would be inequitable and largely impractical to base (the establishment of) local governing bodies on delimitations which would suit any particular group. They concluded that 'the wishes of the people' is not, and should not be, of overriding importance in determining boundaries or size.

It can be argued that the state has a responsibility to secure proper economic and efficient local government and to ensure that public funds are used effectively and enjoyed equally among constituents. It is this responsibility that compels the state to address the significant disadvantage which occurs spatially as a consequence of disparity services and resources among local governments (Barnett 1974). It can further be argued that the reluctance of the state to intervene, adjust and equalize jurisdictions has created increasing spatial deprivation and poverty, class polarisation and the disparity in expenditure among jurisdictions.

An additional aspect of the will of the people is the legal standing and democratic rights of local government constituents. Since local government is not contained in the Australian Constitution and thus enjoys no Constitutional or legal legitimacy in its own right, the institution remains subordinate to, rather than co-ordinate with the state and, despite the incorporation of local government in some state government Constitutions, it remains, at best, an instrument of the state

and, at worst, it *actually is* the state (Harris 1975). Further, Australians have shown they are not prepared to extend Constitutional recognition to local councils by national referendum.

The will of the people has consistently been rejected by Inquiries on a combination of legal, moral and democratic grounds (ACIR 1984) and while Commissioners extended "the greatest respect for the wishes of the people" they have, with rare exception, acceded to the public will. Some Inquiries have recognised that it would be "difficult to see how an informed vote on such a complicated question can be obtained" (Ward 1974:19).

3. Historical and Cultural Factors

Historical and cultural factors have been given little attention by past amalgamation Inquiries (ACIR 1984). Not one of the Victorian Local Government Board Interim Reports published in 1963-4 mentioned this factor. The Commissioners insisted that "to maintain boundaries merely for the sake of retention of a municipal identity cannot be taken as a valid reason, nor as justification for not changing boundaries set more than a century ago" (Heron 1968: 53). The WA. Royal Commission noted that it would have "difficulty in accepting that boundaries which were originally created in circumstances which no longer exist, should be forever preserved" (Ward 1974: 112). In many metropolitan areas, councils' areas either encompass a single suburb or a single large suburb and several smaller ones, and when they are joined to form a larger council there may be some loss of communal identity and belonging with the loss of the name of a council, but this factor has been ignored by most Inquiries.

4. Democratic Representation and Political Participation

Representation is a recurring theme in the objections to boundary changes (ACIR 1984). The ratio of constituent to elected representative varies greatly in NSW with small rural councils like Windouran having each councillor represent just 75 constituents, councillors in small metropolitan areas such as Hunters Hill representing 1,375 constituents, and large urban councils like Blacktown having a ratio of 15,660 constituents to each councillor; some 208 times the political representation of Windouran Internal ward boundaries are subject to review by councils or by the Department in NSW to ensure a tolerance of 10 per cent is maintained within a jurisdiction. This variation in constituent representation ratio may seem extreme but Australia-wide it deteriorates further from 1:16 (including the councillor) at the small rural Perry Council in Queensland to 1:52,740 at the Brisbane City Council, the largest council in Australia. State and Federal government electoral Acts maintain equality in vote value (usually a variation of 10 per

cent), and other countries, including the USA, extend maximum vote value limits to local governments. There exists a 208 per cent inequality in representation among NSW jurisdictions (the difference in Queensland is 3,300 per cent). This is clearly unacceptable in terms of fundamental democracy.

It is often the contention of constituents in small jurisdictions that their political influence and democratic rights have diminished when their representation ratio drops, when the total number of councillors to be elected falls, or when the voting system changes to (or from) a ward system. Often the explicit representation and privilege enjoyed by individuals and groups, particularly in small rural councils, is lost with amalgamation. Although difficult to quantify, there is a view that at a certain point the elector representative ratio ceases to be 'adequate' for true representation to occur and, from a representative point of view, where it becomes impossible to perform this role with any degree of sensitivity (Mack 1998). In contrast, there is said to be little value in achieving high levels of representation where the small size and role of the jurisdiction produces trivial government which is of little consequence in the community (Purdie 1976), but a contrary view is that because of communication, mobility and distance, the representation needs of rural and regional constituents are greater than those in urban areas.

The relationship between the level of representation and the prospect of change to the cost and access of public goods requires consideration. It does not naturally follow that 'diminished' representation leads to fewer services or high costs; in fact the contrary case is more likely with larger municipal units generally being able to render more and better quality services at a lower per capita cost (Manning 1985, NSWGC 1998). Others have added to this confusion by suggesting that "in New South Wales, and generally elsewhere in Australia, rural authorities carry out more functions than their urban counterparts although the rural areas have smaller populations (and smaller rate base, which) guarantees a negative correlation between expenditure per head and population per area which has nothing to do with economies of scale" (Abelson 1981b: 16) or that "representation in itself does not mean that ratepayers ...obtain better services or more prompt and better attention (as this will) depend more on the council's resources and its effectiveness and efficiency rather than on its numbers of aldermen" (Bains 1978: 16). Thus, it is important to differentiate between concepts of effective democracy and political representation and between levels of political representation and the quantity and quality of public services obtained, as the link between them appears tenuous.

It is sometimes argued that larger municipal units are more likely to have voting blocs and alliances based on ideological or political persuasion. Views differ greatly on the impact such

developments have on the effectiveness and representativeness of local government but extant evidence suggests (Bowman 1976, ACIR 1981) that most councillors are 'in their private capacity' members or supporters of apolitical party.

It seems appropriate that a level of acceptable representation be set by an Inquiry at the outset in much the same way as this is set for state (1:42,000) and federal (1:71,000) jurisdictions and that claim of loss of representation be gauged against this measure or against other peer units within the Australian Local Government Classification Standard. The average representation in NSW councils is currently 1:3,258. Thus it is of interest to note that the WA Boundaries Commission (Heron 1972:14) felt that "local government should be democratic and the possibility of fulfilling this (requirement) is less likely if municipalities are too large". The average population size of WA municipalities is less than 12,000 which is a little over half the average size of all Australian municipalities and is the lowest state average among all Australian states.

Participation is a similarly complex notion to define. A basic foundation of local government is that it is purportedly 'closer to the people' and therefore able to offer easier and more effective participation (Jones 1993). There is a perception that the size of a local government organisation is related to the extent and effectiveness of constituent participation and claims that "responsive democratic behaviour *is more likely* in small local authorities than larger units of government, but this is not automatic" (Jones 1977: 89). Jones points to research (no source quoted) suggesting that "democracy is most meaningful in smaller communities, probably under 10,000 population" (1993: 263) and puts that the need for democracy and efficiency seems to be balanced at around 50,000 constituents.

Participation is often cited by submissions to boundary Inquiries as being important, but the level of voting participation under compulsory voting is distorted and can be misrepresented as a measure of participation. Participation means more than just voting; it means being actively involved in the many processes of governance over a period of time (Putnam 1993). Local government elections which are conducted in areas where voting is not compulsory, such as Western Australia, show that constituents are driven more by ambivalence than by any desire to participate with less than 2 per cent of eligible voters turning out in some elections (Jones 1993: 25). This may lead to councils being captured by sectional interests which results in even lower participation and a further weakening of public confidence in the institution of local government (Moore 1993).

Commissioners have argued that participation is heavily dependent on the attitudinal factors of

constituents and that "no one who has a desire to be involved needs to feel excluded merely through the large size of authorities ... the more important and strong the local authority is, the more likely it is that people will become interested and involved. Where it is seen as relatively weak and powerless the level of participation declines rapidly" (Chapman 1979: 190). The Barnett Inquiry (1974: 27) followed this line of thought by concluding that "the right of local individuals and groups to participate in local government is, of course, fundamental to any democratic society, and we wish only to make the point that this right is of little value if there is no real government in which to participate" (original emphasis). In other words, it is recognized that the effect and the efficacy of political participation will occur in some relation to the size of the jurisdiction.

In summary, it is clear that Inquiries have always found it difficult to define and measure community factors and to consider their relative importance in a rational and impartial manner. This lack of definition should not be used to weaken the importance of community factors since they are clearly important to local governments and communities, particularly if threatened with amalgamation. It is critical that Inquiries should provide empirical or indicative measures of community factors when calling for submissions and seek evidence in support of the claims put forward.

Criteria relating to economic factors

1. Financial Viability

The financial viability of local government jurisdictions has been considered by almost all Inquiries to be the most important factor. The economic viability of municipal units is based has always been related to either area, population or rate base or a combination of these factors. This view is best evidenced by the observation that "local government suffers from the existence of too many small and uneconomic areas, resulting in a fragmentation of authority, the unnecessary duplication of assets, the under-utilisation of plant, equipment and human resources and the inability to provide the varied kinds of expertise required by local councils in the modern world" (Barnett 1974: 30). Research based on per capita expenditure, public administration, staffing and rate base indicates that smaller units are up to ten times higher than larger units and thus demonstrates a relationship between increasing size and decreasing cost across all council sizes, although this relationship is not uniform (Manning 1985, and Moore 1993).

External grants represent a substantial proportion of revenue for some councils (up to 76 per cent

in NSW) and are used to "prop up a number of local governments, particularly rural ones, which would otherwise cease to be viable" (ACIR 1984: 51). The NSW Grants Commission has noted that the equalisation problem is aggravated by the large number of small, rural local governments, by jurisdictions with less road length securing an advantage over those with greater road length, and the fact that there are tiers of assistance entitlements at the 5,000 and 20,000 population levels which serve to discourage the amalgamation of small and medium sized jurisdictions under these levels (Wright 1997). The grant system also fails to recognise that residents of rural councils often 'free-ride' facilities provided and funded by larger adjacent regional jurisdictions. If rural-based constituents and councils were levied for the true cost of their usage of such facilities, they might quickly appreciate the value in amalgamating town and country or doughnut arrangements of councils.

2. Economies of Scale

Economies of scale is one of the most important and commonly mentioned factors in the amalgamation debate. Australian Inquiries have consistently found that substantial economies of scale are achieved in local government up to a population of 40,000 to 60,000 (ACIR 1984: 53) but not all Inquiries concede that the achievement of scale economies is an important factor in the size debate, claiming other matters such as accountability, responsiveness, access and sensitivity to community needs and desires outweigh the importance of economic efficiency.

There is little doubt that there is a clear relationship among local government institutions between increasing scale and increasing technical economic efficiency measured on the basis of population size (Manning, 1985, Moore 1993). Technical economic efficiency is shown to increase rapidly from zero to a point just below 30,000 people and to gradually flatten out and approach the range of least-cost optimality at a point just below 50,000 people which continues to around 241,000. However, economies of scale achieved by amalgamating large, remote jurisdictions which contain a small population may be more than offset by the additional costs needed for administration, transport and co-ordination over the much larger area. Thus the amalgamation of these jurisdictions needs to be considered in a different way to urban and provincial amalgamations.

3. Administrative Efficiency

To many Inquiries, savings achieved by increasing administrative efficiency following a union of

jurisdictions represents the most compelling argument in favour of amalgamation. The proportion of the rate base, which is consumed by administrative costs amounts to over 93 per cent in the most extreme instance in NSW and is high in a large proportion of jurisdictions. Despite the fact that large jurisdictions are believed to create more elaborate administrative structures and become more 'bureaucratic' in the worst sense of the word, it is evident that per capita administrative costs fall with the increasing size. The attainment of a reasonable population size will in itself allow the acquisition of specialised facilities and professional staff to be employed and may cause them to be utilised to a greater extent, and in more cost-effective roles.

4. Qualitative factors

Qualitative factors are noted by ACIR (1984) as being important. The quality of the services provided by local government is determined by management and by political means. However, amalgamation may produce little difference to the style of management or change the choice-making structure of the joined jurisdictions. Moreover, these matters can be influenced by political means to maintain, lower or increase the allocation, range and quality of local public good offerings that are desired by a majority of consumer-voters.

Criteria relating to geographic factors

1. Natural Features of Physical Contiguity

The use of natural land marks, infrastructure and physical contiguity as the basis for boundaries is not a new concept. Major roads have historically formed the borders of urban jurisdictions but this presents problems about whether the road itself is in one or the other jurisdiction. In non-urban areas, borders are less frequently based on distinct physical features. Administration and service provision may be enhanced where boundaries are located "along some very impressive natural barrier" (Tullock 1969: 19) and natural features should to be used wherever possible as they form a tangible and recognisable delimitation despite the fact that this may create asymmetrical sized jurisdictions. Certainly, river valleys are the most obvious natural feature and have been used successfully as a basis for recent structural reform in New Zealand. The use of river valleys to contain jurisdictions was also recommended by the NSW Barnett report (1974).

A delimitation system using natural features often cannot be applied uniformly throughout a state. Perhaps the most rational approach to establishing borders in such circumstances, would be to locate boundaries a distance from the most substantial urban node, which is feasible in relation

to logistical limits for transportation of plant and equipment, despite the fact that the population may be too small to allow such operations to be conducted at a 'reasonable' level of economic efficiency.

2. Accessibility

The accessibility of municipal offices to the citizenry may be of diminishing importance and relevance with the advent of toll-free 1800 telephone numbers, pay-by-phone and agency facilities at banks and post offices, internet access and other technological advancements. Nevertheless, it is clearly important that municipal offices should be, and generally are, located in the centre of the most populous and the most central urban node in the municipality.

3. Population

Demographic trends exhibit a significant and sustained decline in the population of remote, rural jurisdictions and the impact that this has on the socio-economic characteristics of these centres is well-documented in the literature (Walmsley and Sorensen 1993). In many developed countries this trend is accelerating despite the best efforts of central and local governments to regenerate local economies and to entice constituents to remain in, or migrate to, smaller population centres (Putnam 1993). The size, growth and decline of population across space has been a central consideration of some Inquiries (Heron 1972), but there has never been any determination of jurisdictional boundaries made on the basis of a 'optimum' population level. Heron (1972) examined rate revenue and the cost of public administration in jurisdictions ranging from 5,000 to 40,000 constituents to find that jurisdictions of 40,000 or more appeared to promote more 'economical' local government. The Johnston review in Western Australia (1974) subsequently concluded that a size of 40,000 was necessary for "reasonable economy and efficiency" but these reports only examined data for jurisdictions of up to 40,000. A supplementary submission by the Victorian Branch of the Local Government Engineers Association provided empirical evidence to the Bains Board of Review in 1979 that the optimum urban jurisdictional size based on figures available for Melbourne lay in abroad range of population sizes from 40,000 to 150,000 and a joint submission by the Victorian Institute of Municipal Management and the Local Government Engineers Association Working Group suggested "a municipality of between 50,000 and 80,000 population should possess these resources and could be achieved by combining two or more units without destroying the basic fabric on which the system has been built. There are characteristics of the present system, such as community of interest, time-distance relationships and natural

boundaries, which could and should be retained within this population range" (Bains 1979: 73). These were the only empirical reports submitted to Bains' review which were based on technical efficiency and both produced a minimum viability level in the order of 40-50,000.

4. Urban Development

The co-ordination of urban development has become an increasingly important part of the political and public policy process. Governments have been compelled to embrace a more sensitive approach to sustainable development, particularly in environmentally sensitive and burgeoning urbanised areas which are under environmental and social pressure. Scant mention has been made of this important local government responsibility although the Barnett (1974: 67) report spoke of the need to "rationalise areas associated with development along the coastline. This development is exerting considerable pressures upon councils and can be expected to increase in the future".

5. Interdependence of Town and Country

The interdependence of town and country is an important and controversial issue for small rural jurisdictions located outside provincial towns and for regional town councils. Constituents of 'doughnut' councils are known to 'free-ride' by utilising facilities provided by the larger provincial councils, often without contributing to the cost of the facilities through their rates. The municipal offices of both the rural and provincial councils are usually both located in the town and often within a short distance from one another although the small rural shires tend to have a smaller rate base, few resources and their role is largely limited to road and bridge works. Historically, there has been little co-operation or resource sharing between these organisations thus "providing a readily identifiable example of duplication of buildings, capital equipment and staff" (ACIR 1984: 64). Further problems arise where extensive urban development and hobby-farms spill into rural areas, imposing a burden on the resources of a rural council if large capital expenditure becomes necessary to provide additional infrastructure.

Since Maher and McKay (1980) were able to establish an inter-relationship between provincial towns and the adjoining rural area, many, but not all, subsequent state Inquires have rejected arguments that rural shire councils and provincial councils should remain as separate entities. American demographic trends are similar to those experienced in Australia in that there has been a substantial, long-term migration of people between rural and urban areas and from inner city

areas to adjoining suburban areas and to the countryside. This trend has become so common as to blur the borders of both (Berry 1973). Even historians argue that the "differences between city and country have been attenuated almost to the vanishing point" (Hallman 1977: 17). The NSW Barnett Report (1974: 30) found this separation to be "a major contributing factor in the fragmentation of local authority, an unnatural division of communities fostering parochialism, the dissipation of resources and effort" and offered the opinion that 'justification no longer existsfor the separation of town and country for local government purposes" (Bains 1979: 81). The South Australian Royal Commission resolved that such separation would be an "unnatural situation" and "a breach of the 'community of interest' rule" (Ward 1974: 30).

6. Economic Importance

The economic importance of local government to local communities is an important and often misrepresented aspect of amalgamations. It is sometimes suggested that where a council is amalgamated the economic effect of the public sector presence will be lost to the community and, in some small rural communities, remove the only source of public employment and economic activity. This is somewhat mitigated by the fact that most public services still have to be provided locally irrespective of whether they are administered locally or from elsewhere. The net detrimental economic impact would probably be the loss or transfer of a proportion of administrative staff.

Criteria relating to intergovernmental considerations

1. Complementary Roles

The complementary roles of state and local government require close and effective relations between the state and local governments. Local government exists at the pleasure of the state and the state prescribes the functions of local governments by legislation. It is theoretically desirable for each tier of government to levy taxes on constituents in accordance with its prescribed roles (Oates 1972) but the distribution of responsibilities and taxing powers among governments in the Australian federation is not balanced. It would thus seem appropriate that the role of local governments should continue to be limited to those which are efficiently conducted at the localised levels.

2. Intergovernmental Effects

The intergovernmental effects of more effectively financing local government have been mentioned by a number of Inquiries, including Chapman (1979) and Barnett (1974). They have noted that difficulties are encountered in area-wide planning where jurisdictions are fragmented as urban infrastructure is less efficiently utilised and duplicated, and building, health and zoning standards are applied less uniformly. A number of Inquiries have also suggested that small and inefficient councils with a relatively limited territory and population are more inclined to compete for resources, do not adopt co-operative approaches to common problems, and are less able to deal with state and federal government agencies in an equal partnership (Chapman 1979: 344-345).

Conclusion

In sum, the ACIR (1984) has noted that despite an overwhelming emphasis by past Inquiries on economic factors, relatively little research has been undertaken to determine a minimum population or organisational size which would ensure a reasonable standard of financial viability or establish a relationship between size and economic and administrative efficiency. This partial deficiency was subsequently at least partly remedied by Manning (1985) and there have been a number of studies which have demonstrated that costs are lower in jurisdictions with around 50,000 constituents (Lomax 1943, Hirsch 1959, Neutze 1965, Moore 1993, Soul 1995) and various normative assessments have since been made, including Self (1990, 1997) and Jones (1993) that this figure represents, on balance, an 'optimum' population figure. Many Inquiries chose not to go far beyond the tabulation of population to administration cost ratios and a crude comparison of the range and quality of services that existing jurisdictions provide with some discussion of the natural geographic limitations and costs associated with remoteness. Some Inquiries have undertaken comparative studies among peer units (within and outside the state in question) and only one has conducted a post-amalgamation review to gauge the political implications, extent of human and capital rationalisation and the effect on municipal efficiency and constituent satisfaction. In 1984, the NSW Local Government Boundaries Commission was instructed to conduct a 'Review of New Country Councils Constituted since 1976'. It found that "many of the major amalgamations forced since 1976 were 'experiencing serious problems' and was instrumental in 'bringing to a halt the long term amalgamation process in New South Wales" (Jones 1993: 237). Problems were encountered and economies of scale could not be achieved where there were few net savings to be achieved from staff or capital equipment rationalisations, there was often a need for a new and larger headquarters to house the larger level of combined

staff, and communication and computing equipment was often inadequate, and in some instances had to continue as separate systems. There were instances of net loss in grant allocations which resulted from some amalgamations and problems associated with loan limitations, differential rating and unavoidable commitments to capital equipment programs. Constraints imposed by the state government's assurances of no forced redundancies and the absence of effective follow up legislation for outservicing led to the diminished outcome described by the Commission.

Bibliography

- Abelson, P.W., 1981. *The Role and Size of Local Government Authorities in New South Wales*, Centre for Research on Federal Financial Relations. ANU. Canberra.
- Advisory Council for Intergovernmental Relations (ACIR), 1983. *Local Government Boundary Changes and Amalgamations: An Historical Review*, Discussion Paper No.12, Hobart.
- Advisory Council for Intergovernmental Relations (ACIR), 1984. *Responsibilities and Resources of Australian Local Government*, Report No.7, Hobart.
- Bains, J., 1978. Report into Local Authority Management in New South Wales. NSW Government Printer, Sydney.
- Bains, J., 1978. *Management Reform in English Local Government*, Research Monograph No.24, Centre for Research on Federal Financial Relations, Australian National University, Canberra.
- Bains, J., 1979. Report of the Board of Review of the Role, Structure and Administration of Local Government in Victoria, Victorian Government Press, Melbourne.
- Barnett, C. J., 1974. Report of the Committee of Inquiry into Local Government Areas and Administration in New South Wales, NSW Government Printer, Sydney.
- Berry, B. J. L., 1973. The Human Consequences of Urbanisation, St Martins Press, New York.
- Berry, C., 1987. *Local Government Boundaries in Metropolitan Perth*, Unpublished Ph.D Thesis, University of Western Australia, Perth.
- Bolton, R., 1992. 'Place prosperity vs. people prosperity revisited: An old issue with a new angle', *Urban Studies*, 29 (2), 185-203.
- Bowman, M., 1976. "Local Government in Australia", in M. Bowman and W. Hamptin, *Local Democracies: A Study in Comparative Local Government*, Longman-Cheshire, Melbourne.

- Chapman, R. J. K., 1979. *Board of Inquiry into Local Government in Launceston and Related Areas*, Government Printer, Hobart.
- Cooke, P., 1990. Back to the Future, Unwin Hyman, London.
- Dickson, J., 1981. Towards an Understanding of the Implications of Boundary Changes With Emphasis on Community of Interest, Unpublished Report to the Rural Adjustment Unit, University of New England, Armidale.
- Gifford, K. H., 1967. The Australian Local Government Dictionary, Law Book Co., Melbourne.
- Gilbert, E. W., 1948. "The Boundaries of Local Government Areas", *Geographical Journal*. Vol. 2, pp. 172-206.
- Green, F. H. W., 1956. "Community of Interest and Local Government Areas", *Journal of Public Administration*, Vol. 17, pp.39-49.
- Hallman, H. W., 1977. Small and Large Together: Governing the Metropolis, Sage, Los Angeles.
- Harris, C. P., 1975. *The Classification of Australian Local Authorities*. Centre for Federal Finance Relations, ANU. Canberra.
- Hassell, I., 1995. *Identifying Aspects of Representation and Decision-making, and a Sense of Community -The Impact of Local Government Size*, Report to the South Australian Ministerial Advisory Group, Adelaide.
- Herbert, D. T. and Johnston, R.J. (eds.), 1978. *Geography and the Urban Environment*, Wiley, London.
- Heron, W. J., 1972. Report of Local Government Boundaries Commission Into Metropolitan Boundaries, WA Government Printer, Perth.
- Hillery, G. A., 1955. "Definition of Community: Areas of Agreement", *Rural Sociology*, Vol. 20, pp. 61-78.
- Hirsch, W. Z., 1959. "Expenditure Implications of Metropolitan Growth and Consolidation", *Review of Economics and Statistics*, Vol. 41, pp. 61-66.

- Johnston, L.F. J., 1974. Royal Commission into Metropolitan Municipal District Boundaries, WA Government Printer, Perth.
- Jones, M. A., 1977. Organisational and Social Planning in Australian Local Government, Heinemann, Melbourne.
- Jones, M. A., 1993. Transforming Australian Local Government, Allen and Unwin, Sydney.
- LAEBR., 1990. Local Authorities External Boundaries Review, Government Printer, Brisbane.
- Lipman, V. D., 1952. "Town and Country", *Public Administration*, Vol.11, pp. 227-339.
- Lomax, K. S., 1943. "Expenditure per Head and the Size of Population, *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*, Vol. 106, pp. 2-5.
- Losch, A., 1954. The Economics of Location, Yale University Press, New Haven.
- Mack, T., 1998. Discussions with the ex-local, state and federal government representative from North Sydney, Sydney.
- Maher, C. and McKay, J., 1980. "Techniques and Analysis for the Identification of Local District Structure in Victoria", *Board of Review of the Role, Structure and Administration of Local Government in Victoria*, (M. A. Bains, Chairman), Victorian Government Printer, Melbourne.
- Manning, I., 1985. Report by the Victorian Grants Commission on the Perspective Financial Advantages of Restructuring Local Government in Victoria, Unpublished Report, Victorian Grants Commission, Melbourne.
- Moore, D., 1993. *Reforming Local Government*, Project Victoria, Institute of Public Affairs, Melbourne.
- Neutze, G.M., 1965. Economic Policy and the Size of Cities, ANU Press, Canberra.
- Newman, 0., 1980. Community of Interest, Anchor Press, New York.
- NSW Grants Commission, 1998. Data Sets of Local Government Finance, Sydney.
- Oates, W. E., 1972. Fiscal Federalism, Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, New York.

- Palm, R. I., 1973. "Factorial Ecology and the Community of Outlook", *Annals*, Vol. 64, pp.11-14.
- Park, H., 1996. *Advancing Local Government in Western Australia*, Report of the Local Government Structural Reform Advisory Committee, WA Government Printer, Perth.
- Purdie, D. M., 1976. Local Government in Australia: Reform or Regression, Law Book Co., Melbourne.
- Putnam, R. D., 1993. *Making Democracy Work: Civic Traditions in Modern Italy*, Princeton University Press, Princeton.
- Putnam, R. D., 1993. "The prosperous community: Social capital and community life", *The American Prospect*, 13 (1), 35-42.
- Raskall, P., 1995. Who Gets What Where: Spatial Inequality Between and Within Australian Cities, Canberra: Department of Housing and Regional Development.
- Reilly, W. J., 1929. Methods for the Study of Retail Relationships, University of Texas, Texas.
- Reiss, A. J., 1970. "The Sociology Study of Communities", in R. Gutman, R. and D. Popence, (eds.), *Neighbourhood, City and Metropolis*, Random House, New York.
- Robbins, J. R., 1975. *Local Government and Community in South Australia*, Unpublished Doctoral Thesis, University of Adelaide, Adelaide.
- Self, P., 1990. "Market Ideology and Good Government", *Current Affairs Bulletin*, Vol. 67, pp. 166-169.
- Self, P., 1997. "The Future of Australian Local Government" in B. Dollery, and N. Marshall, (eds.), *Australian Local Government*, Macmillan, Melbourne.
- Soul, S. C., 1995. "The 1993 NSW Local Government Act: Milestone or Millstone?", *Local Government Management*, Vol. 28, pp. 26-31.
- Stayner, R., 1999. *Sense of Place, Social Capital, and Rural Development,* unpublished paper presented to the Rural Studies Network, University of New England, Armidale, 18 March.
- Tullock, G., 1969. Federalism: Problems of Scale, *Public Choice*, Vol. 11, pp. 19-29.

- Walmsley, D. J. and Sorensen, A. D., 1993. *Contemporary Australia: Explorations in Economy, Society and Geography*, Second Edition, Longman Cheshire, Melbourne.
- Ward, G. M., 1974. Royal Commission into Local Government Areas, SA Government Printer, Adelaide.
- Wright, B., 1997. Discussions with Bruce Wright, NSW Local Government Grants Commission, Sydney.