

# Modelling of Dubas Bug Habitat And Population Density In Oman Based on Association with Environmental And Climatological Factors



**Associate Professor Lalit Kumar**

## 1. Progress made in achieving the objectives and plans specified in the approved research proposal and results obtained

The project commenced in January 2015, after a long delay involving contractual negotiations. Since the project involves a PhD candidate whose term is for three years and the contract period is three years as well, it was paramount that the candidate be selected as soon as possible after contract finalization. This item was given top priority, with the position advertised and the candidate selected with the first three months. The candidate, Mr Khalifa Al-Kindi, is from Oman and played a significant role in formulating the project. The candidate undertook the first season data collection prior to arriving in Australia. The data collected is discussed later in the report.

A comprehensive literature review on Dubas Bug has been undertaken and is included as Appendix 1 in this report. This work is ongoing and will be modified as new information and scientific papers become available.

Field visit was undertaken by Mr Al-Kindi (PhD candidate) and Dr Ali Al-Wahaibi (CI from SQU) and 80 sites were identified where from where initial data was collected. This list will be further reduced to 30-40 sites, in line with the project contract, and instruments to record environmental and climatological information will then be installed at these sites. Figure 1 shows the sites that have been selected.




Figure 1 Study area showing selected sites

Analysis of historical data is currently being undertaken and some interesting patterns are being observed. Figure 2 shows different levels of infestation from 2008 to 2014.




Figure 2 Density and distribution of Dubas Bug infestation levels in terms of weighted stress observed in the date palm from year 2008 to 2014. The scale is the same for all 7 maps.

These are preliminary analysis and detailed discussions and conclusions will follow in later reports.

All historical data was combined into one set and hotspot analysis was undertaken. This work is ongoing and is not part of Milestone 1, but is included here to show the direction of this research and overall progress. Figure 3 shows the GIS-based hotspot analysis and the regions/areas that have had the most infections in the past.




Figure 3 Hotspot analysis showing where most of past Dubas Bug infestations have been recorded.

Preliminary work has also been undertaken to map date plantations in northern Oman (Figure 4). This data will be further complemented by satellite image analysis at a later stage.

Finally, in developing correlations of Dubas Bug infestation sites with environmental and meteorological variables, parameters such as drainage lines, slope and aspect have been derived from a digital elevation model and infestations sites have been mapped onto these to undertake exploratory data analysis. Figure 5 shows the location of date plantations with respect to drainage lines. This information is important as it will enable us to link irrigation methods and water quality with infestation levels at a later stage.




Figure 4 The distribution of date plantations in north of Oman.




Figure 5 Location of date plantations in Northern Oman in relation to drainage lines.

## 2. Problems or difficulties encountered

The data we have collected from different resources needs a lot of work to fix, clean up, complete, organise and translate from Arabic English. For example, historical data from MAF has a mixture of methods of collecting and recording, for example latitude and longitude information is in different formats. Not all data is available in digital format, resulting in a lot of time spent recoding from pdf and WORD files.

Historical satellite images that were obtained from the National Survey Authority were generally incomplete.

The first invoice, related to PhD candidature offer and dated 3 March 2015, has still not been paid. The contract specified that the PhD candidate had to be from Oman for capacity building and, while the selected candidate is from Oman, there is an ongoing tussle between the Ministry of Education and the Ministry of Agriculture. As far as we are concerned, this is an internal matter for TRC to sort out and should not affect milestone payments. I have also offered to supervise an additional PhD candidate from the Ministry of Agriculture should TRC decide to nominate and sponsor one. The nominated person will need to satisfy the university's English language and entry requirements.

The non-payment of the invoice has led to uncertainty about the project and the PhD candidate and this in turn is hampering fieldwork preparations for the upcoming Dubas Bug season.

## 3. Expenditures

PhD candidate fees and living allowance: 59,835 OMR

PhD candidate airfare and visa for Australia: 570 OMR

## 4. Any deviations from the original objectives of the approved proposal.

No deviations so far but if funding is not made available soon then it will be necessary to modify the project to complete in the agreed timeframe.

## 5. Proposed changes for the next reporting period.

None

## 6. The report should list all staff, with their categories and institutional affiliations.

Associate Professor Lalit Kumar  
Principal Investigator  
University of New England, Armidale NSW 2351 AUSTRALIA

Dr Ali Al-Wahaibi  
Chief Investigator and local partner  
Sultan Qaboos University

Dr Om Jhorar  
Consultant – Humid-Thermal Index development  
Australia

Mr Khalifa Al-Kindi  
PhD candidate

One postdoc yet to commence

One Masters student, based at SQU, yet to commence

**Appendix 1 – Literature Review**

# **Modelling of Dubas Bug Habitat and Population Density in Oman, Based on Associations with Environmental, Climatological and Human Factors: A Review:**

**Khalifa M. Al-Kindi\*, Lalit Kumar and Ali Al-Wahaibi**

*Ecosystem Management, School of Environmental and Rural Sciences, University of New England, Armidale, NSW2350, Australia. \*email: kalkindi@myune.edu.au*

## **Abstract**

To understand the distribution and prevalence of Dubas Bug, highly comprehensive and sophisticated information regarding the environmental, climatological, and agricultural practices is required in order to understand the current biogeographical patterns and to predict future distributions. The analytical techniques available in modern spatial analysis packages, such as Geographical Information Systems (GIS), and Remote Sensing (RS) are required to detect, develop and model spatial links and correlations between presence, absence and density of Dubas bug in terms of climatic, environmental and human factors. The main objective of this review is to demonstrate how mapping, modelling suitability, movement, and interact with Dubas bug stress levels, based on spatial information technologies (SITs). SITs are approaches that can be used to visualize and analyse insect infestations and other entomological and agricultural data, describing factors that assist the management and control of Dubas bug infestation. The Humid-Thermal index also can be used to predict the occurrence or non-occurrence of the Dubas Bug. Solar radiation models and other techniques incorporating climate, socio-economic, environmental, and culture factors and Dubas Bug distribution patterns, should be possible to investigate the high-risk area levels on a spatial scale of infestation. This review describes the most important geospatial statistics, spatial interpolation, temporal risk indices and other methods of analysis. An exhaustive search of related literature showed there are few studies linking location-based infestation levels of Dubas bugs with climate, environmental and human culture related variables in the Middle East. This review also highlights the accumulated knowledge and addresses the gaps in this area of research. It makes recommendations for future studies, and gives suggestions for monitoring and surveillance sites and designing local, regional- level integrated pest management policing of palm tree and other affected cultivated crops.

Key words; Spatial Information Technologies, GIS, Remote Sensing, Dubas Bug

## **1. Introduction**

The date palm, *Phoenix dactylifera* L, is generally considered an important economic yield in the Sultanate of Oman (Mani, Handoo, & Livingston, 2005) as well as in most other Arab countries (El-Juhany, 2010). However, a variety of insect pests cause major damage to this

crop resulting in plant deaths, reduced yields and production losses (Abdullah, Lorca, & Jansson, 2010; Blumberg, 2008; El-Shafie, 2012; Howard, 2001; Rivera et al., 2015). One such species, the Dubas bug (*Ommatissus Lybicus* Bergevin, Homoptera: Tropiduchidae), has been identified as being of major negative economic importance, quantitatively and qualitatively affecting growth yield palm in the Sultanate of Oman (Al-Yahyai, 2006). Indeed the Dubas bug has been identified as one of the reasons for the decline in date production in Oman (Al-Yahyai & Al-Khanjari, 2008; Al-Zadjali, Abd-Allah, & El-Haidari, 2006). It is also seen as a principal pest of date palms in many locations in the Middle East, North Africa and southeast Russia (Klein & Venezian, 1985). It is said to have introduced in the Tigris-Euphrates River Valley from where it has spread to other zones in recent decades (Blumberg, 2008).

The Dubas bug is a sap feeding insect and both the adults and nymphs suck the sap from the date palm, causing chlorosis, and repeated high level infestation which reason for the flagging and death of palm plantations (Al-Khatri, 2004; Howard, 2001; Hussain, 1963; Mahmoudi, Sahragard, Pezhman, & Ghadamyari, 2015; A. M. Mokhtar, Nabhani, & Saif, 2010; A Payandeh & Dehghan, 2013). There is also an indirect effect whereby the honeydew produced by this species can lead to the growth of a black sooty mould on the foliage and consequently a reduction in the photosynthetic rates of date palms (Blumberg, 2008; A. Mokhtar & Al-Mjeini, 1999). The female of this species ranges in size from 5-6 mm, while the males range from 3-3.5 mm. Their colour is a yellowish-green and the main distinguishing feature between males and females is the presence of spots on females, while the males have a more tapered abdomen and larger wings relative to the abdomen. The nymphs have 5 instars and each instar has white waxy filaments (Hussain, 1963).

Numerous studies have investigated the biology of this species (Hussain, 1963; Klein & Venezian, 1985; Shah, Naeem, Nasir, Irfan-ul-Haq, & Hafeez, 2012). Lybicus bug species have two generations annually. The first generation is in the summer with young nymphs appearing in mid to late April. After two months young nymphs mature, and eggs of the second generation appear in late September (Blumberg, 2008; Hussain, 1963). Females lay between 100 and 130 eggs (Elwan & Al-Tamimi, 1999; A. M. Mokhtar et al., 2010). The Dubas female lays its eggs by inserting them into holes in the tissue of the date palm frond at the end of each generation. For about three months the eggs remain dormant and when they hatch the resulting nymphs remain living on the fronds of the same tree feeding on the sap and defecating huge amounts of honeydew which covers the palm fronds and leads to the growth of black sooty mould (A. M. Mokhtar et al., 2010). In extreme cases the mould can block the stomata of the leaves causing partial or complete suffocation of the date palm, which in turn reduces its crop. The honeydew secretion also makes the dates unpalatable (Aminae, Zare, & Assari, 2010; El Bouhssini, Brownbridge, & Gassouma; El-Juhany, 2010). In summer the eggs can hatch within 18-21 days but in winter may take up to 170 days (Blumberg, 2008). The developmental time of Lybicus eggs was studied under three temperatures, 17.6°C, 27.5°C and 32.4°C. The results showed that 27.5°C appeared to be the optimum temperature for the biological activities of this species (Al-Khatri, 2011). At 35°C the biological processes of the bug were disrupted, leading to high mortality, particularly in

females (A Payandeh & Dehghan, 2013). Investigations into the population, and spatial distribution fluctuation of two generations of Dubas bug in the Bam region of Iran showed that this pest had an aggregated spatial distribution pattern (Arezoo Payandeh, Kamali, & Fathipour, 2010). Seasonal activity showed that nymphs were dynamic from April to May at first generation and August to October at second generation. In the first and second generations the adults were active from May to June and September to November, respectively (Arezoo Payandeh et al., 2010). Blumberg (2008), reported that temperatures below 0°C adversely affect the ability of adults to survive. The Dubas bug avoids direct sunlight (Klein & Venezian, 1985; Shah, Mohsin, Hafeez, Naeem, & Haq, 2013), and it is spread via transfer of infested offshoots and by wind or wind dust (Blumberg, 2008; Shah et al., 2013).

Some research has also been conducted on the natural biological control of the Dubas bug, such as predators and parasites. Early results show a variety of natural predators that could be used as biological control agents, among these being *Aprostocetus sp.*, *Oligosita sp.* and *Runcinia sp.* (Biennial Agricultural Report 2006). Further, Hussain (1963) reported that the eggs of the Dubas bug are parasitized by a small Chalcidoid, while the nymphs and adults were preyed upon by and the larvae of the lace wing (*Chrysopa carnea Steph.*). Hussain also reported three adult species of Coccinellids that prey on nymphs and adults of the Dubas bug. However, further study is needed to determine the distributions of these natural enemies in Oman as well as their effectiveness in controlling Dubas bug populations. Some measure of success was also achieved with pathogenic bacteria as microbiological control agents (Samail Y05), although their toxicological aspects need further research to assess the safety of their implementation on a large scale (Biennial Agricultural Report 2006).

Given the significant economic impact of this pest, research into effective management strategies demands high priority. Several insecticides have been evaluated for Dubas bug control in Oman with SUMI-ALPHA® 5 EC being effective as a ground spray and KARATE® 2 ULV, TREBON® 30 ULV and SUMICOMBI® 50 ULV achieving some measure of success as aerial sprays (Biennial Agricultural Report 2006). KARATE-ZEON was also found to be very effective as it gave 100% reduction in numbers of Lybicus bug instars and adults, between three and seven days after application. However, the use of this particular pesticide is restricted due to its side effects such as irritation (Annual Report 2008). In Israel systemic carbamates such as aldicarb and butocarboxim have been successful, while in Iraq dichlorvos (DDVP) injected directly into the infected palms were also successful in suppressing the pest population (Blumberg 2008). Nonetheless, this method of control is expensive with negative environmental impacts on non-target species (particularly natural enemies of Dubas bug), as well as human health. Research has shown that some pesticide residues persist in the fruit up to sixty days after application (Al-Samarrie & Akela, 2011; Khan, Azam, & Razvi, 2001). In addition, chemical control has achieved limited success and this pest continues to pose a major challenge to Omani agriculture.

Areas of future research have arisen from this literature review. There are further opportunities for research into the biology and ecology of this species to gain a thorough understanding of the life cycle of this pest, as well as its distribution. The climatic factors that

influence its survival and distribution also merit further study, because such information may be useful in determining current and future potential distributions, particularly in the light of climate change. In a review of the effects of climate change on pest populations, Cammell and Knight (1992) suggested that increases in mean global temperatures, as well as changes in rainfall and wind patterns, could have profound impacts on the population dynamics, abundance and distribution of insect pests of agricultural crops. More recent research has supported this finding (Bale et al., 2002; Cannon, 1998; Tobin, Parry, & Aukema, 2014; Worner & Gevrey, 2006). A key issue in ecology and conservation is the mapping of pest species distributions, as this information can be used to devise more effective management strategies for their control. Limited research has been carried out into the current (Al-Zadjali et al., 2006) and potential distribution of the Dubas bug.

Spatial analysis techniques, remote sensing and species distribution modelling are useful tools for carrying out such analyses. Such studies require information on the current distribution of Dubas bug, which can be obtained by detailed sampling as well as literature searches and searches on databases such as the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF). Once sites of known occurrence are identified, the environmental conditions at these sites can be used to make projections to other regions to identify potentially suitable areas that can be colonized by the species of interest. An assessment, as to whether specific areas will continue to be climatically suitable under future climate scenarios, can also be made. Once suitable climatic variables have been identified, other environmental variables such as soil types, slope, aspect, geology and elevation can be incorporated in a Geographical Information System (GIS) to evaluate which variables, or combinations of variables, play a role in enhancing the development, survival and spread of the Dubas bug.

Remote Sensing can also be used to study the health of date palms. High spatial resolution imagery such as IKONOS and Quickbird are useful in the early detection of date palms infected with the Dubas bug. Such analyses can be carried out on a broad scale and are less costly and more effective using remotely sensed imagery than extensive field based surveys. Early detection plays a key role in the management and control of the infestations and further research into techniques for early detection merits urgent attention.

## **2. The Use of Remote Sensing for Detecting Insect Infestation, and Extracting Vegetation Damage**

Dubas Bug impacts are severe in Oman, Southern Iraq and Southern Iran, while not so severe in Saudi Arabia, Egypt, Tunisia, Libya, United Arab Emirates, Algeria and Morocco. In Oman, the infestation seems more severe in mountain wadi biomes and lees in open plains and coastal areas away from the mountains. Preliminary data collected by Ali Al-Whahabi (personal communication) shows different levels of infestation in different localities in Oman. Hussain (1963) and Mahmoudi et al. (2015) have stated that heavy infestations mostly occur along valleys.

Insect destruction interrupts the growth, affecting the quality and production of the palm fruit over large area. Fronds in the palm are important indicators of tree health and defoliated trees

have reduced likelihood of survival as they are prone to attack via insects and diseases. The most common method of mapping the insect infestations has been established in North America. This method consists of draw mapping built on airborne photography (Eklundh, Johansson, & Solberg, 2009). De Beurs and Townsend (2008) have stated that draw mapping is cause to subjectivity, is time wasting and is rarely complete countrywide. Other remote sensing techniques are used to detect and control vegetation from satellite at local and global scale. Remote Sensing tools and data have been used to develop early detection techniques for the insect infestations on a broad scale. Satellite images can be applied to map the spatial distribution of insect infestations, and possibly do this on temporal scale as well to indicate the directions and speed of the spread. The data captured, especially the spatial distribution and spread images, can be used as inputs to the GIS-based predictive model. Hyperspectral and multispectral (airborne) data has been used to detect a variety of vegetation or tree problems caused by insects. For examples, D. G. Leckie, Cloney, and Joyce (2005) used multispectral imagery to detect and map crown discoloration caused by jack pin budworm with 2.5 resolutions. D. Leckie, Jay, Gougeon, Sturrock, and Paradine (2004) used multispectral data for detecting and assessing of trees with *Phellinus weirii* (Laminated root rot). Hyperspectral data was used to map the high-risk areas of infestations caused by insects in Malaysia (Shafri & Hamdan, 2009). A benefit of using multispectral information is that it enables the detection of field heterogeneities of crop vigour. However, a limitation of using multispectral data is that multispectral images are not particularly suitable for early detection of crop infestation (Franke & Menz, 2007). For this purpose, hyperspectral data is more suitable and expansive compared with multispectral data (Shafri & Hamdan, 2009) and there is a need to use this data type for identifying palm tree-level pre-visual reductions in chlorophyll content, essential for early stress detection.

Satellite imagery data also has been used for mapping and control of infestation and stress levels. The capability for detecting infestation from satellite imagery depends on the type or degree of frond destruction, which may range from discoloration to total defoliation and tree death. It also depends on the stress level and spatial distribution of the damage. The approach is typically based on differences in the red, near-infrared (NIR), and short-wave infrared (SWIR) wavelengths, and arithmetic incorporation of these bands. Remote sensing is a powerful technique for mapping insect damage at different resolutions. However, the scale at which remote sensing studies measure attributes of vegetation conditions varies with the particular sensor used. Sensors vary in their spatial, spectral, radiometric and temporal resolutions of data collection, and consequently in their ability to record and monitor attributes of vegetation (Lawley, Lewis, Clarke, & Ostendorf, 2015). Ground resolution varies from 0.5m to 10m for high spatial resolution sensors such as IKONOS and 8 bands WorldView, to 30m for medium resolution sensors from Landsat, and 250-1000 m for low resolution from MODIS and NOAA AVHRR. The size of the ground resolution cells determines the scale of the individual features that can be detected, and each image pixel may contain a combined reflectance from more than one landscape view.

Wulder, Dymond, White, Leckie, and Carroll (2006) used Landsat for detecting mountain pin beetle data damage at the land level with a 75% overall accuracy. Landsat images of insect

damage can be used to provide a historical record and to evaluate past forest disturbances at medium resolution (Williams & Birdsey, 2003). However, to develop nationwide systems for monitoring and early detection of insect destruction, it is essential to investigate the performance of high temporal resolution satellites such as NOAA (AVHRR), SPOT vegetation, Envisat (MERIS) and EOS (MODIS). These satellites have limited spatial resolution but have been used to serve as real methods for viewing extensive spread damage and providing early certain symptom of invasions over large areas. The capacity of high temporal resolution remotely sensed data may be applied to monitor the seasonal vegetation variations. For example, Goward, Tucker, and Dye (1985) used NOAA AVHRR to study North America vegetation patterns. Fraser, Abuelgasim, and Latifovic (2005) used high resolution satellite imagery such as SPOT vegetation for mapping defoliation and mortality of coniferous forests due to eastern hemlock looper infestation. The most common index of vegetation from MODIS is the normalised different vegetation index (NDVI). NDVI, which calculates the association between near infrared (NIR) and red (R), can be used to measure photosynthetic activity of vegetation and is computed by:

$$NDVI = \frac{NIR - R}{NIR + R} \quad (1)$$

where a result close to 0 denotes no vegetation, while a result close to 1 illustrates the highest possible density of healthy vegetation. The effectiveness of photographic output is influenced by several climatological and environmental conditions such as humidity, temperature, rainfall, geology, soil types, elevation and soil contamination. All these factors can account for observed differences in vegetation health, density, and evaporation rate. NDVI was used by Ji, Xie, Li, Li, and Zhang (2004) to classify locusts in the forest outback in cultivation lands. However, effective real data in terms of vegetation is not only obtained from visible and near-infrared channels, but also from thermal channels. Essential levels of detail on the extent and severity of the Dubas Bug stress level are required for a variety of farms, in terms of development, management, and modelling activities. To provide the necessary level of detail, data variations needs require appropriate survey methods. Moreover, the timing of surveys must be coordinated based on outbreak of attack in tree-crown foliage, which can vary substantially. High resolution RS imagery (IKONOS or Quickbird) of agriculture may be used to provide resources management with significant information regarding insect infestations. Images taken over several years can be used to study the spatial dynamics of diversity of farms pests, particularly those which have special relevance to farm canopies. Indeed, several studies at detecting damage caused by insect infestation have proven successful in research that uses high resolution remote sensing data and the investigative implements of GIS for estimating the susceptibility of farms to numerous insects, but this is not extensive (Dennison & Roberts, 2003; Gimbarzevsky, Dawson, & Van Sickle, 1992).

### **3. Use of Spatial Interpolation Analysis, Time Risk Indices, and Predictor Methods on Insects Infestations.**

#### ***3.1 Interpolation Analyst***

To provide data for populations of insect pests at given sites, many processes are required such as data collection, using traps, longitude, latitude and the subsequent analysis (S. Kumar, Graham, West, & Evangelista, 2014). However, the spatial interpolation method can be used to predict unknown values within the site by using geo-referenced known point locations and the associated table population data (Beckler, Wade French, & Chandler, 2005). However, the number and distribution of some point can affect the accuracy of spatial interpolation. To be effective for estimation, control points should be well distributed within the study area. Nonetheless, this ideal situation is rare in real-world applications because a study area frequently contains some data-poor areas. This review illustrates the types of spatial interpolation and which elements can be used to attain a valid result.

### 3.1.1 Density Estimation

Simple and kernel density estimation methods have been used to measure cell densities in a raster by using a sample of known points. The simple method is a counting method, while the kernel method is based on probability functions and offers options in terms of how density estimation is made. Moreover, to use the simple density method is necessary to place a raster on a point distribution, tabulate points that fall within each cell, total the point value, and estimate the cell's density by dividing the total point value by the cell size. The kernel density estimation associates each known point with a kernel function for the purpose of estimation (Bailey & Gatrell, 1995; Scott, 2015; Silverman, 1986). A kernel density estimation method looks like a “bump” centring at a known point and tapering off to 0 over a defined window area (Silverman, 1986). The kernel density estimator at point  $x$  is then the sum of bumps placed at the known point  $x_i$  within the bandwidth:

$$\hat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{nh^d} \sum_{i=1}^n k\left(\frac{x - x_i}{h}\right) \quad (2)$$

where  $K(\ )$  is the kernel function,  $h$  is the bandwidth,  $n$  is the number of known points within the bandwidth, and  $d$  is the data dimensionality. The kernel density estimation method (KDE) typically produces a smoother surface than the simple density estimation method. KDE has been applied to a wide variety of fields such as forest resources (Lundquist & Beatty, 1999), natural hazards (Van Der Veen & Logtmeijer, 2005). Carvalho, Faro, and Subramanyam (2013) used density estimations to study insect population distributions in a large rice mill in Portugal. Through spatial interpolation, an estimation of the precipitation values at a location can be made with no recorded data, by using known precipitation readings at nearby weather stations. Thus, spatial interpolation works with rasters for creating surface data that can be used for analysing and modelling. The density surface method can be used to identify Dubas bugs infestations and damaged palm tree hot spots and cold spots, direction (e.g., north Oman). Therefore, KDE has been applied to develop GIS layers such as density and distribution of Dubas bug and it may be possible to link these layers with environmental, meteorological and human factors in more advanced analyses.

### 3.1.2 Inverse Distance Weighted (IDW), Radial Basis Function (RBF) and Kriging

ArcGIS® ESRI Software can be used to import the geo-references of insect infestation locations, capture data, and spatial distribution analysis. For example, the Average Nearest Neighbour analysis tool in ArcGIS software can be used to assess if the pattern of distribution of insect infestation locations at each site could potentially be clustered since they were distributed in an irregular fashion. Inverse Distance Weighted (IDW), Radial Basis Function (RBF), and Kriging interpolation methods have been used to produce contour maps of stored – product pests. IDW is an exact method that imposes the condition that the estimated values of a point are influenced more by nearby known points by those at a greater distance. The most important characteristic of IDW method is that all predicted values are within the range of maximum and minimum values of the known points. The general equation for the IDW method is:

$$Z_0 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^s z_i \frac{1}{d_i^k}}{\sum_{i=1}^s \frac{1}{d_i^k}} \quad (3)$$

where  $z_0$  is estimate value at point  $0$ ,  $z_i$  is the  $z$  value at known point  $i$ ,  $d_i$  is the distance between point  $0$ ,  $s$  is the number of known points used in estimation, and  $k$  is the specified points. The five RBF methods are: thin-plate spline, spline with tension, completely regularized spline, multiquadric function, and inverse multiquadric function. Each RBF interpolation has a parameter that controls the smoothness of the generated surface. The difference between the surfaces is usually small, while each combination of the RDF method and a parameter value can create a new surface. RDF is only considered informative when working with large datasets and attributes of little variation. Splines have been used for interpolating mean rainfall surface (Hutchinson, 1995), and land demand surface (Wickham, O'Neill, & Jones, 2000).

Kriging varies from other local interpolation methods, because it can be used to evaluate the quality of prediction and estimated prediction errors. In GIS environmental Kriging has become a popular method adopted in a wide variety of disciplines (e, g. DEM). The semivariance method has been used in kriging method to measure the spatially correlated component, a component that is called spatial autocorrelation or spatial dependence. It can also be used to estimate the degree of variance between multiple pairs of measurements and provide information on the scale and pattern of the spatial variance (Curran, 1988; Journel & Huijbregts, 1978). According to Krige (1976) the most common form of Kriging is Ordinary kriging. It is a spatial modelling technique that provides optimal and unbiased estimates of unknown values from sample data (Curran & Atkinson, 1998). The Semivariogram is computed by:

$$y(h) = \frac{1}{2} [z(x_i) - z(x_j)]^2 \quad (4)$$

where  $y(h)$  is the semivariance between known points,  $x_i$  and  $x_j$ , separated by the distance  $h$ ; and  $z$  is attribute data. There is also method call a semivariogram cloud of kriging

interpolation which can be used for investigating the spatial variability of the phenomena under study (Gringarten & Deutsch, 2001). However, a semivariogram cloud is too difficult to use and manage because it has pairs of known points. In this case, the method called binning is typically used in kriging to average semivariance data by distance and direction. Geostatistics interpolation is widely used in spatial association of insects by home and overseas academics (Kemp, 1987; Liebhold, Halverson, & Elmes, 1990; Park & Obrycki, 2004; Pearce & Zalucki, 2006; Z. Wang, Li, Shang, & Cheng, 2001; Zhou, Wang, Zhao, & Zhang, 2012). Some studies have used geostatistics interpolation with kriging to analyse the spatial pattern of insects. Indeed this method can analyse the spatial structural dynamics of insect habitat under different environmental conditions (R. Zhang, Leng, Zhu, & Wang, 2007). For example, Zhou et al. (2012) was used Semivariogram kriging to analyse spatial patterns of grasshopper in vegetation in China. All these examples provide valuable methods for ecological, entomological and geographical studies in the monitoring and management of Dubas bug.

#### 4. Using Spatial Statistics for investigating the Distribution of Insect Infestations

##### 4.1. Spatial Statistics Methods

###### 4.1.1 Analysis Pattern

###### 4.1.1.1 Moran's I Autocorrelation

Some types of spatial autocorrelation analysis can be used to describe these mapped populations in spatial terms. The procedure determines the spatial distribution or dispersion pattern (i.e. random, clusters and clumped) of the subject by measuring the relationship population density (attributes of objectives) (i.e. infestations sites) and spatial factors with distance between objectives (Griffith, 1987). The most common measure of spatial autocorrelation is Moran's I. Moran's I spatial autocorrelation can be computed by:

$$I = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m w_{ij} (x_i - \bar{x})(x_j - \bar{x})}{s^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m w_{ij}} \quad (5)$$

where  $x_i$  is a value at point  $i$ ,  $x_j$  is the value at point neighbour  $j$ ,  $w_{ij}$  is a coefficient,  $n$  is the number of points, and  $s^2$  is the variance of  $x$  values. It can be used to measure the spatial autocorrelation of insect infestations based simultaneously on the infestation locations and values. The values Moran's I take on are anchored at the expected value  $E(I)$  for a random pattern:  $E(I)$  approaches 0 when the number of points  $n$  is large. Moran's I is close to  $E(I)$  if the pattern is random. It is greater than  $E(I)$  if adjacent points tend to have similar values. Moran's method is similar to nearest neighbour analysis and can be used to compute the Z score associated with Moran's I. The Z score shows the likelihood that the point pattern could be the result of random chance. Moran's I can also be applied to polygons and remains the same for computing the index value, but the coefficient is based on relationship between polygons. However, recent developments in spatial statistics have included the Local

Indicator of Spatial Association (LISA) (Anselin, 1995) . A local version of Moran's I, LISA calculates for each feature (point or polygon) an index value and a Z score. A high negative Z score suggests that the feature is adjacent to features of similar values, either above or below the value.

Many studies have used Moran's I. For example, Beckler et al. (2005) used Moran' I coefficient to measure corn rootworm spatial distribution and determine the degree of autocorrelation for the interpolated species abundance map. In British Columbia, Canada (Christopher Bone, Wulder, White, Robertson, & Nelson, 2013) used local Morn's I to estimate the risk of mountain pin beetle attack on pines. It was also used to identify the degree spatial cluster of Lyme disease cases, ticks and forest disease (Kitron, 1998). Khormi and Kumar (2012) used Moran's I to investigate the averages of dengue fever and dengue hemorrhagic fever case prevalence in the northern parts of Jeddah, Saudi Aribia. However, Moran's I, either general or local, can only detect the presence of the clustering of similar values. It cannot tell whether the clustering is made of high or low values. Such types of method need more prevalent knowledge of how spatial patterns will change from the past to the future. There is also Geary's index statistic method which was developed by economist and statistician Robert Geary in the 1950s and is termed Geary's contiguity ratio. He first applied it to identify agricultural and demographic patterns in his native Ireland. Geary's index can be used to measure the similarity of nearby features, or similar values occurring together. However, like Moran's I function cannot discern from the statistics whether clusters are composed of high or low values.

#### 4.1.1.2 G-Statistic for Measuring High/Clustering

G-statistic can be used to separate clusters of high from clusters of low values (Getis & Ord, 1992). The overall G-statistic method is based on a stated distance  $d$ . The weight can be based on some  $G(d)$  to evaluate its statistical significance. However, it is similar to Moran's I and a local version of the G-statistic is also available (Anselin & Getis, 2010; Ord & Getis, 1995). The local G-statistic, denoted by  $G_i(d)$ , is often described as a tool for "hot spot" analysis. Moreover, a cluster of high positive Z scores suggests the presence of a cluster of hot spot values. On the other hand, a cluster of low positive Z scores suggests the presence of a cluster of cold spot values. The G-statistic allows the use of a distance, but no discernible increase in clustering of high or low values exists. The general G-statistic based on a specified distance,  $d$ , is defined as:

$$G(d) = \frac{\sum \sum w_{ij}(d) x_i x_j}{\sum \sum x_i x_j}, i \neq j \quad (6)$$

where  $x_i$  is the value at location  $i$ ,  $x_j$  is the value at location  $j$ , if  $j$  is within  $d$  of  $i$ , and  $w_{ij}(d)$  is the spatial weight which can be based on some weighted distance between 1 and 0. The expected value of  $G(d)$  is:

$$E(G) = \frac{\sum \sum w_{ij}(d)}{n(n-1)} \quad (7)$$

where  $E(D)$  is typically a very small value when  $n$  is huge. A high  $G(d)$  value suggests a clustering of high values, and a low  $G$ .

#### 4.1.2 Multi-distance, Ripley's K Function

Ripley's K function, or multi-distance spatial analysis cluster, can be used to identify clustering or dispersion of features over a distance. Also it is a standard technique that can be used to analyse the spatial distribution and structure of plant species (Hao, Zhang, Song, Ye, & Li, 2007; Wiegand & Moloney, 2004). Spatial autocorrelation analysing has been used to investigate the temporal changes of spatial distributions to study rangeland grasshoppers (Goovaerts & Jacquez, 2005). Further, it is useful for quantifying the spatial dependency over distance classes (Overmars, De Koning, & Veldkamp, 2003). Spatial autocorrelation is also important for validating the use of standard statistical tests. According to M. Goodchild (2009), statistical interpretation typically applies to controlled experiments, which are seldom used in geographic research. If the data exhibits significant spatial autocorrelation, it should encourage the research to integrate spatial dependence into analysis.

#### 4.1.3 Mapping Clusters

##### 4.1.3.1 Hotspot Analysis $G_i^*$

The other version, called  $G_i^*$ , the value of target feature is included.  $G_i^*$  mapping clusters can be used to identify the hot spot or cold spot of diseases, insect infestations, and migration of features.  $G_i^*$  uses a neighbourhood, based either on adjacent features or on a set distance. However, using a distance-based neighbourhood, the distance specified is based on the knowledge of the feature and its behaviour. To calculate  $G_i^*$ , the GIS sums the values of the neighbour and divides by the sum of the values of all features in the study area. Different studies with different applications have used  $G_i^*$  hotspot worldwide (Kounatidis et al., 2008; Pinault & Hunter, 2011; Schools et al., 2012). The hotspot Analysis  $G_i^*$  can be computed by:

$$G_i^*(d) = \frac{\sum_j w_{ij}(d)x_j}{\sum_j x_j} \quad (8)$$

where  $G_i^*$  represents a feature  $i$ , at a distance  $d$ . The value of each neighbour  $x_j$  is multiplied by the weighted target- neighbour pair  $w_{ij}$ . A  $G_i^*$  value close to 0 indicates that there is no concentration of either low or high values nearby the target feature. This happens when the surrounding values are close to the mean, or when the target features is surrounded by a mix of low and high values. Other methods and technologies are significant and can be used to give scientific and sufficient results. Table 1 illustrates the differences between some methods for measuring the pattern of feature values.

Table 1. Comparing methods for measuring the pattern of feature values (*Source: Mitchell, 2005*)

<b>Displays</b>	<b>Statistic</b>	<b>Advantages</b>	<b>Limitations</b>
Similarity of nearby features.	Moran's I & Geary's	Delivers a single statistic and summarising the pattern.	Does not indicate if clustering is for high or low values
Concentration of high/low values.	General G	Indicates whether high or low values are clustered.	No visible rise in clustering of low or high values occurs.
Counting number of features within defined distances.	K-function	Calculating concentration of features at a range of scales or distances simultaneously.	Patterns are suspect at a distance because of edge effects

## 5. Examples of Use Modelling Spatial Relationships between Insect Infestations and Environmental and Climate Factors

Inverse Distance Weighted and Radial Basis Function, with the exception of kriging, are techniques that do not sufficiently address the problem of spatial dependence and spatial heterogeneity. However, kriging with its own assumptions, might be an effective method in an area in which there is a richness of available data, and is valuable for analysing the placement of new gauges (Tsintikidis, Georgakakos, Sperflage, Smith, & Carpenter, 2002). Spatial autoregressive methods deliver a versatile and valid technique for guesstimating applications. Integration of these methods into ArcGIS environmental models makes it comparatively easy to apply the methods to a variety of spatial phenomena. GIS functions and tools have made it possible to implement cutting edge spatial autoregressive techniques to investigate many research study problems. Advances in the ArcGIS packages, a GIS application, in particular have greatly reduced time for estimating spatial parameters. For example, regression analysis allows users to model, examine, and explore spatial relationship, to better understand the factors behind observed spatial patterns. It further allows users to predict hypotheses based on the understanding of these factors. There are three types of regression; liner regression, local regression, and logistic regression. Linear regression has been used to predict values of  $y$  from values of  $x_i$  as computed by;

$$y = a + b_1x_1 + b_2x_2 + \dots + b_nx_n \quad (9)$$

where  $y$  is the dependent variable,  $x_i$  is the independent variable  $i$ , and  $b_i, \dots, b_n$  are the regression confidants. However, linear regression requires several suppositions about error, or residual, between the predicted values and the actual values (Miles & Shevlin, 2001). Some errors are related to a normal distribution for a set of independent variables. Others have the expected mean value of zero. Linear regression has been used to model wildlife home ranges (Anderson et al., 2005) and soil moisture (Lookingbill & Urban, 2004). According to Harris, Fotheringham, Crespo, and Charlton (2010), Local Regression or Geographically Weighted Regression (GWR) analysis has been used to predict information

for each known point to derive a local model. However, parameters in this method may include variations in space, providing a basis to explore non-stationary spatial relationships. The logistic regression method can be applied to model spatial relationships between features, when the dependent variable is categorical (e.g., presence or absence) and the independent variables are categorical, numeric, or both (Menard, 2002). The advantages of using the logistic regression method is that it does not require the assumptions needed for the linear method.

Various studies have used autoregressive models to investigate the relationships between insect infestations and other factors based on environmental information. Munar-Vivas, Morales-Osorio, and Castañeda-Sánchez (2010) combined environmental information, spatial and attribute data in GIS-based maps to assess the impact of Moko disease on banana yield in Colombia. They used a regression model to investigate the relationship between infested areas and distances from *Moko foci* to cable-ways and drainage channels. Coops, Johnson, Wulder, and White (2006) researched the association between likelihood of occurrence, forest structure, and forest predisposition variables by regression tree models and found location and slope to be the major factors for modelling variations in the possibility of red outbreak. GWR model has been used to detect high-risk infestations caused by mountain pine beetle invasions of lodge-pole pine forests over large areas (Robertson, Wulder, Nelson, & White, 2008).

It is essential to begin by using single variables to develop correlations, before moving onto more complicated predictive models and regression analysis, in which all factors are incorporated to investigate which combination of factors is most conducive to the survival and spread of Dubas bug. For example, Geographically Weighted Regression (GWR) can be used to model correlation between Dubas bug infestation and meteorological variables such as humidity, rainfall, temperature, wind direction and speed. GWR can also be applied to model the correlations between Dubas bug infestations and the environmental variables such as soil type, slope, aspect, ecology, soil salinity and solar radiation. Additionally, autoregressive models may be used to investigate the relationships between Dubas bug infestations and human factors such as irrigation, plantation systems, insecticides and methods of spraying.

## **6. Use of Modelling Suitability Analysis for Detecting and Investigating Insect Infestations**

A range of suitability models have been used to identify locations having a particular set of characteristics. These may also be used to predict areas that might be susceptible to risks such as insect infestations, based on conditions of the species (Mitchell, 2011). For examples, Matern, Drees, Kleinwächter, and Assmann (2007) used the habitat suitability selection method to model the conservation of the rare ground bin beetle species. They used five different data sets to identify several key habitat factors for *Carabus variolosus* stress levels. C Bone, Dragicevic, and Roberts (2005), developed a model using fuzzy theory to identify susceptibility areas for *Dendroctonus ponderosae* Hopkins in Canada. However, GIS data has unique characteristics which can impact on the results of the model (Allen, 2011; Crooks &

Castle, 2012). Moreover, vector data uses coordinate pairs to define both location and shape of geographic features. Raster data is often used to represent geographic phenomena that are spatially continuous, such as elevation, temperature, soil moisture, rainfall, solar radiation, and slope at any location within a study area. Discrete features are typically represented with vector data, but raster data may be used if the analysis method requires. Thus, rasters are often used for finding and rating suitable locations, because in the raster overlay method results are formatted in a single layer of suitable and unsuitable cells known as a suitability layer, rather than a vector layer with many polygons and an attribute table containing the attribute values for each polygon.

There are two ways to create raster suitability layers. The first approach is to query the individual sources to create the suitability layer. The query can be used to create the suitability layer with two values, 1 for cells meeting all criteria of suitable habitat and 0 for all other cells. The second approach is to assign new values to each source layer to create a new layer with two values. Cells that meet the criteria are assigned one value and cell that not assigned another. However, the layer consists of only two values, indicating suitable and unsuitable cells, and these are termed binary suitability layers. A process that may be used to get an improved result is by combining the binary suitability layers into a single layer and identifying cells that were defined as suitable on all binary layers. Figure 1 shows the process that may be used for finding suitable location conditions for Dubas bug habitat using raster method overlay.




Figure 1. Model for mapping the optimal sites of Dubas bug habitat

The uncertainty resulting from geoprocessing operations demonstrates that sophisticated spatial analysis cannot be achieved using traditional, deterministic geoprocessing methods alone (M. F. Goodchild & Glennon, 2010; J. Zhang & Goodchild, 2002). Fuzzy logic method is a superset of Boolean logic lengthened to handle uncertainty in data that arises due to vagueness instead of to randomness alone (Li, Huang, Guo, & Nie, 2010). Fuzzy method was utilized to information extracted from high resolution remote sensing data and combined in a raster-based GIS to produce maps representing the spatial variation of pest vulnerability in a landscape. This method also allows for partial association to one or more class, thus objects are represented by a value based on a membership continuum between 0 and 1. The membership function of an element  $x$  belonging to a fuzzy set  $A$  is computed by:

$$\mu_A : U \rightarrow [0,1] \quad (10)$$

where  $U$  is the universal set of  $x$ . The concept of fuzzy sets has also been employed for defining the spatial and attribute characteristics of geographic objects (Burrough & Frank, 1996; F. Wang & Hall, 1996). The results from the analysis can be fed directly into a decision via maps, tables and charts. The results can also be used in further analyses or to provide additional understanding of the problem. Furthermore, the result can be tested to see if layers are correlated using a statistical tool such as Pearson's correlation coefficient or Spearman's rank correlation coefficient, or by using regression analysis (Mitchell, 2005) . The Spearman's rank correlation coefficient can be computed by:

$$p = 1.0 - \frac{6 \times D^2}{(n^3 - n)} \quad (11)$$

A  $p$  value ranges from -1 to +1. The closer  $p$  is to +1 or -1, the stronger the positive or negative spatial correlation between two categories of features. For interpreting value  $p$  uses the following guides:

- $P = +1$  implies complete positive spatial decency
- $P = -1$  implies complete negative spatial dependency.
- $P = 0$  implies no spatial dependence.

The results of any model may be used to generate summary information that will be useful for decision makers or researchers. For example, we might calculate statistics such as the total amount of suitable habitat in the study area or the amount of suitable habitat in each landownership category. The challenge in any particular area of study is the geographic extent and resolution of analysis which is determined by the phenomenon being modelled. To achieve validity, researchers must ensure that they are using accurate, current data wherever possible. If the data is from one's own organization, one can rely on the data controls that are in place. Data quality should be checked against alternate sources, if possible, to ensure it meets the requirements of the analysis. Assessing the quality of data is a guideline to predict what level of confidence can be attributed to the result of the modelling.

## **7. Example of Using Solar Radiation Model to Investigate Insect infestation Level**

The solar radiation model was developed by Kumar (1997) and has been applied to calculate the possible solar radiation at chosen locations for a 12 month period. The model may be applied to investigate infestations level differences in different locations during the infestation periods. For example, Kirkpatrick and Nunez 1980 achieved a positive result after investigating the relationships between solar radiations and the distribution of several species of eucalyptus along a single transect in the Risdon Hills in Tasmania. The advantage of solar radiation is to calculate the potential on any slope and any latitude described (L. Kumar, Skidmore, & Knowles, 1997). The model has also been used for applied work in ecology, biology, forestry and agriculture where the spatial variation of solar radiation is more significant than calibrated values. Incorporating solar radiation over long periods of time for huge areas can be used for modelling in a diversity of regions such as plant, species locations,

biodiversity and identification of possible vegetation and wildlife locations, and mapping topographic variants in direct shortwave radiation. The advantages and limitations of this model based on the precision of the Digital Elevation Model (DEM) used. Errors in the DEM mean mistakes in the calculated values of slope and aspect which affect the accuracy of the computation of solar radiation. Another limitation is the sun position in the sky and the impact of shading by adjacent terrain.

## **8. Example of Using Humid-Thermal Index for Detecting Plant Disease**

The Humid-Thermal Index, sometimes called the Humid-Thermal Ratio, has successfully been demonstrated in developing and testing relationships between several plant disease and varied climate conditions in regions including India, Australia, Europe and North America. An HTI prototype has been developed to simulate ecological conditions appropriate for founding and spread of plant diseases in India (Jhorar et al., 1992). The HTI method has been used to evaluate risk of *Karnal* bunt establishment and spread in wheat rising under a variety of climate conditions and in different areas (Jhorar et al., 1992; Stansbury & Pretorius, 2001; Workneh et al., 2008). The method has potential value in researching insect pests and associated diseases, allowing the possibility of accurately predicting occurrences and non-occurrences under specific climate and weather conditions. These predictions can be used to help reduce human efforts when conditions are expected to be unfavourable. Predictions can further be used for advance preparations to meet challenges in the event of threat of heavy crop losses. There is a need to study the biological systems of Dubas bug because these are dependent on two of the most important elements of climate, temperature (thermal) and humidity (moisture). Temperature is the derivative of solar radiation and thermal emission, while humidity is the derivative of dry or wet conditions associated with various levels and forms of precipitation.

## **9. Conclusions**

A variety of applications of modern spatial information technologies such as GIS and RS have been shown to have application to areas of research on insect infestation worldwide. Environmental and climatological conditions are very important in determining the distribution and survival of any species including Dubas bug. This review highlighted that most of global research on Dubas bug has concentrated on its ecology, biology, or control mechanisms. There has been limited research on linking the presence, density and spatial temporal distribution of the Dubas bug with environmental, meteorological and human practices that promote the development and prevalence of this pest. Understanding the distribution and affinity of the Dubas bug in terms of variables, can play a key role in its mapping, control and management, including resource allocations. Remote sensed satellite data is familiar to GIS users. The spatial resolution of satellite images relates to ground pixels. Satellite images can be used processed digitally to produce a wide variety of thematic data for a GIS project such as land use, vegetation types, crop health, eroded soil, etc. Satellite images provide timely data, if collected at regular intervals; they can also provide temporal data valuable for recoding and monitoring changes in terrestrial and aquatic environments. A GIS can assist environmental modellers in data visualization, database

management and exploration. A regression model relies on overlays to combine data in the statistical analysis of dependent and independent variables. A GIS and its functionalities can be used to build or help build, a spatially explicit model with geospatial data. Climate conditions such as extreme temperature, high relative humidity, occurrences of cyclones and severe rain and hail storms, as well as soil and water salinity and traditional outdated methods of cultivation, need more investigations in the future research.

#### Acknowledgements

This study is part of the IPM of Dubas Bug program, funded by The Research Council, Sultanate of Oman.

#### References:

1. Abdullah, S. K., Lorca, L. L., & Jansson, H. (2010). Diseases of date palms (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.). *Basrah Journal for Date Palm Researches*, 9(2), 40.
2. Al-Khatiri, S. (2004). Date palm pests and their control. Paper presented at the Proceedings, Date Palm Regional Workshop on Ecosystem-Based IPM for Date Palm in Gulf Countries. UAE University, Al Ain, UAE.
3. Al-Khatiri, S. (2011). Biological, ecological and phylogenic studies of *Pseudoligosita babylonica viggiani*, a native egg parasitoid of Dubas bug *Ommatissus lybicus* de Bergevin, the major pest of date palm in the Sultanate of Oman. University of Reading.
4. Al-Samarrie, A. I., & Akela, A. A. (2011). Distribution of injected pesticides in data palm trees. *Agric Biol JN Am*, 12, 1416-1426.
5. Al-Yahyai, R. (2006). Improvement of date palm production in the Sultanate of Oman. Paper presented at the III International Date Palm Conference 736.
6. Al-Yahyai, R., & Al-Khanjari, S. (2008). Biodiversity of date palm in the Sultanate of Oman. *Afr. J. Agric. Res*, 3(6), 389-395.
7. Al-Zadjali, T. S., Abd-Allah, F., & El-Haidari, H. (2006). Insect pests attacking date palms and dates in Sultanate of Oman. *Egyptian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 84(1), 51-59.
8. Allen, D. W. (2011). *Getting to Know ArcGIS ModelBuilder*: Esri Press.
9. Aminae, M. M., Zare, R., & Assari, M. J. (2010). Isolation and selection of virulent isolates of *Beauveria bassiana* for biological control of *Ommatissus lybicus* in Kerman province. *Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection*, 43(8), 761-768.
10. Anderson, D. P., Forester, J. D., Turner, M. G., Frair, J. L., Merrill, E. H., Fortin, D., . . . Boyce, M. S. (2005). Factors influencing female home range sizes in elk (*Cervus elaphus*) in North American landscapes. *Landscape Ecology*, 20(3), 257-271.
11. Anselin, L. (1995). Local indicators of spatial association-LISA. *Geographical analysis*, 27(2), 93-115.
12. Anselin, L., & Getis, A. (2010). *Spatial statistical analysis and geographic information systems Perspectives on spatial data analysis* (pp. 35-47): Springer.
13. Bailey, T. C., & Gatrell, A. C. (1995). *Interactive spatial data analysis* (Vol. 413): Longman Scientific & Technical Essex.

14. Bale, J. S., Masters, G. J., Hodkinson, I. D., Awmack, C., Bezemer, T. M., Brown, V. K., . . . Farrar, J. (2002). Herbivory in global climate change research: direct effects of rising temperature on insect herbivores. *Global Change Biology*, 8(1), 1-16.
15. Beckler, A. A., Wade French, B., & Chandler, L. D. (2005). Using GIS in areawide pest management: a case study in South Dakota. *Transactions in GIS*, 9(2), 109-127.
16. Blumberg, D. (2008). Review: date palm arthropod pests and their management in Israel. *Phytoparasitica*, 36(5), 411-448.
17. Bone, C., Dragicevic, S., & Roberts, A. (2005). Integrating high resolution remote sensing, GIS and fuzzy set theory for identifying susceptibility areas of forest insect infestations. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 26(21), 4809-4828.
18. Bone, C., Wulder, M. A., White, J. C., Robertson, C., & Nelson, T. A. (2013). A GIS-based risk rating of forest insect outbreaks using aerial overview surveys and the local Moran's I statistic. *Applied Geography*, 40, 161-170.
19. Burrough, P. A., & Frank, A. (1996). *Geographic objects with indeterminate boundaries (Vol. 2)*: CRC Press.
20. Cammell, M., & Knight, J. (1992). Effects of climatic change on the population dynamics of crop pests. *Advances in Ecological Research*, 22, 117-162.
21. Cannon, R. J. (1998). The implications of predicted climate change for insect pests in the UK, with emphasis on non-indigenous species. *Global Change Biology*, 4(7), 785-796.
22. Carvalho, M. O., Faro, A., & Subramanyam, B. (2013). Insect population distribution and density estimates in a large rice mill in Portugal—A pilot study. *Journal of Stored Products Research*, 52, 48-56.
23. Coops, N. C., Johnson, M., Wulder, M. A., & White, J. C. (2006). Assessment of QuickBird high spatial resolution imagery to detect red attack damage due to mountain pine beetle infestation. *Remote sensing of environment*, 103(1), 67-80.
24. Crooks, A. T., & Castle, C. J. (2012). The integration of agent-based modelling and geographical information for geospatial simulation Agent-based models of geographical systems (pp. 219-251): Springer.
25. Curran, P. J. (1988). The semivariogram in remote sensing: an introduction. *Remote sensing of environment*, 24(3), 493-507.
26. Curran, P. J., & Atkinson, P. M. (1998). Geostatistics and remote sensing. *Progress in Physical Geography*, 22(1), 61-78.
27. De Beurs, K., & Townsend, P. (2008). Estimating the effect of gypsy moth defoliation using MODIS. *Remote sensing of environment*, 112(10), 3983-3990.
28. Dennison, P. E., & Roberts, D. A. (2003). The effects of vegetation phenology on endmember selection and species mapping in southern California chaparral. *Remote sensing of environment*, 87(2), 295-309.
29. Eklundh, L., Johansson, T., & Solberg, S. (2009). Mapping insect defoliation in Scots pine with MODIS time-series data. *Remote sensing of environment*, 113(7), 1566-1573.
30. El-Bouhssini, M., Brownbridge, M., & Gassouma, S. *Pests of the Date Palm (Phoenix dactylifera)*.
31. El-Juhany, L. I. (2010). Degradation of date palm trees and date production in Arab countries: causes and potential rehabilitation. *Aust J Basic Appl Sci*, 4(8), 3998-4010.

32. El-Shafie, H. (2012). Review: list of arthropod pests and their natural enemies identified worldwide on date palm, *Phoenix dactylifera* L. *Agric. Biol. JN Am.*, 3, 516-524.
33. Elwan, A., & Al-Tamimi, S. (1999). Life cycle of Dubas bug *Ommatissus binotatus lybicus* De Berg.(Homoptera: Tropiciduchidae) in Sultanate of Oman. *Egypt. J. Agric. Res.*, 77(4), 1547-1553.
34. Franke, J., & Menz, G. (2007). Multi-temporal wheat disease detection by multi-spectral remote sensing. *Precision Agriculture*, 8(3), 161-172.
35. Fraser, R., Abuelgasim, A., & Latifovic, R. (2005). A method for detecting large-scale forest cover change using coarse spatial resolution imagery. *Remote sensing of environment*, 95(4), 414-427.
36. Getis, A., & Ord, J. K. (1992). The analysis of spatial association by use of distance statistics. *Geographical analysis*, 24(3), 189-206.
37. Gimbarzevsky, P., Dawson, A., & Van Sickle, G. (1992). Assessment of aerial photographs and multispectral scanner imagery for measuring mountain pine beetle damage. Forestry Canada, Victoria, Information Report: BC-X-333.
38. Goodchild, M. (2009). NeoGeography and the nature of geographic expertise. *Journal of location based services*, 3(2), 82-96.
39. Goodchild, M. F., & Glennon, J. A. (2010). Crowdsourcing geographic information for disaster response: a research frontier. *International Journal of Digital Earth*, 3(3), 231-241.
40. Goovaerts, P., & Jacquez, G. M. (2005). Detection of temporal changes in the spatial distribution of cancer rates using local Moran's I and geostatistically simulated spatial neutral models. *Journal of Geographical Systems*, 7(1), 137-159.
41. Goward, S. N., Tucker, C. J., & Dye, D. G. (1985). North American vegetation patterns observed with the NOAA-7 advanced very high resolution radiometer. *Vegetatio*, 64(1), 3-14.
42. Griffith, D. A. (1987). *Spatial autocorrelation. A Primer* (Washington, DC, Association of American Geographers).
43. Gringarten, E., & Deutsch, C. V. (2001). Teacher's aide variogram interpretation and modeling. *Mathematical Geology*, 33(4), 507-534.
44. Hao, Z., Zhang, J., Song, B., Ye, J., & Li, B. (2007). Vertical structure and spatial associations of dominant tree species in an old-growth temperate forest. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 252(1), 1-11.
45. Harris, P., Fotheringham, A., Crespo, R., & Charlton, M. (2010). The use of geographically weighted regression for spatial prediction: an evaluation of models using simulated data sets. *Mathematical Geosciences*, 42(6), 657-680.
46. Howard, F. (2001). Insect pests of palms and their control. *Pesticide Outlook*, 12(6), 240-243.
47. Hussain, A. A. (1963). Biology and control of the dubas bug, *Ommatissus binotatus lybicus* De Berg.(Homoptera, Tropiciduchidae), infesting date palms in Iraq. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 53(04), 737-745.
48. Hutchinson, M. (1995). Interpolating mean rainfall using thin plate smoothing splines. *International journal of geographical information systems*, 9(4), 385-403.

49. Jhorar, O., Mavi, H., Sharma, I., Mahi, G., Mathauda, S., & Singh, G. (1992). A biometeorological model for forecasting Karnal bunt disease of wheat. *Plant Disease Research*, 7(2), 204-209.
50. Ji, R., Xie, B.-Y., Li, D.-M., Li, Z., & Zhang, X. (2004). Use of MODIS data to monitor the oriental migratory locust plague. *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, 104(3), 615-620.
51. Journel, A. G., & Huijbregts, C. J. (1978). *Mining geostatistics*: Academic press.
52. Kemp, W. P. (1987). Probability of outbreak for rangeland grasshoppers (Orthoptera: Acrididae) in Montana: application of Markovian principles. *Journal of economic entomology*, 80(6), 1100-1105.
53. Khan, A., Azam, K., & Razvi, S. (2001). Pesticide residues analysis of date palm fruits by gas chromatography mass spectrophotometry. Paper presented at the Proc. Second International Conference on Date Palms (Al-Ain, UAE).
54. Khormi, H. M., & Kumar, L. (2012). Assessing the risk for dengue fever based on socioeconomic and environmental variables in a geographical information system environment. *Geospatial health*, 6(2), 171-176.
55. Kitron, U. (1998). Landscape ecology and epidemiology of vector-borne diseases: tools for spatial analysis. *Journal of medical entomology*, 35(4), 435-445.
56. Klein, M., & Venezian, A. (1985). The dubas date tropiduchid, *Ommatissus binotatus lybicus*, a threat to date palms in Israel. *Phytoparasitica*, 13(2), 95-101. doi: 10.1007/BF02980886
57. Kounatidis, I., Papadopoulos, N., Mavragani-Tsipidou, P., Cohen, Y., Tertivanidis, K., Nomikou, M., & Nestel, D. (2008). Effect of elevation on spatio-temporal patterns of olive fly (*Bactrocera oleae*) populations in northern Greece. *Journal of Applied Entomology*, 132(9-10), 722-733.
58. Krige, D. (1976). A review of the development of geostatistics in South Africa *Advanced geostatistics in the mining industry* (pp. 279-293): Springer.
59. Kumar, L., Skidmore, A. K., & Knowles, E. (1997). Modelling topographic variation in solar radiation in a GIS environment. *International Journal of Geographical Information Science*, 11(5), 475-497.
60. Kumar, S., Graham, J., West, A. M., & Evangelista, P. H. (2014). Using district-level occurrences in MaxEnt for predicting the invasion potential of an exotic insect pest in India. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 103, 55-62.
61. Lawley, V., Lewis, M., Clarke, K., & Ostendorf, B. (2015). Site-based and remote sensing methods for monitoring indicators of vegetation condition: An Australian review. *Ecological Indicators*.
62. Leckie, D., Jay, C., Gougeon, F., Sturrock, R., & Paradine, D. (2004). Detection and assessment of trees with *Phellinus weirii* (laminated root rot) using high resolution multi-spectral imagery. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 25(4), 793-818.
63. Leckie, D. G., Cloney, E., & Joyce, S. P. (2005). Automated detection and mapping of crown discolouration caused by jack pine budworm with 2.5 m resolution multispectral imagery. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 7(1), 61-77.

64. Li, Y., Huang, G., Guo, P., & Nie, S. (2010). Interval-fuzzy possibilistic mixed integer linear programming for environmental management under uncertainty. *International Journal of Environment and Pollution*, 42(1-3), 107-124.
65. Liebhold, A., Halverson, J., & Elmes, G. (1990). Using GIS to describe and analyze gypsy moth spatial dynamics. Paper presented at the Resource Technology.
66. Lookingbill, T., & Urban, D. (2004). An empirical approach towards improved spatial estimates of soil moisture for vegetation analysis. *Landscape Ecology*, 19(4), 417-433.
67. Mahmoudi, M., Sahragard, A., Pezhman, H., & Ghadamyari, M. (2015). Demographic Analyses of Resistance of Five Varieties of Date Palm, *Phoenix dactylifera* L. to *Ommatissus lybicus* De Bergevin (Hemiptera: Tropicuchidae). *Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology*, 17(2), 263-273.
68. Mani, A., Handoo, Z., & Livingston, S. (2005). Plant-parasitic nematodes associated with date palm trees (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) in the Sultanate of Oman. *Nematropica*, 35(2), 135.
69. Matern, A., Drees, C., Kleinwächter, M., & Assmann, T. (2007). Habitat modelling for the conservation of the rare ground beetle species *Carabus variolosus* (Coleoptera, Carabidae) in the riparian zones of headwaters. *Biological Conservation*, 136(4), 618-627.
70. Menard, S. (2002). *Applied logistic regression analysis* (Vol. 106): Sage.
71. Miles, J., & Shevlin, M. (2001). *Applying regression and correlation: A guide for students and researchers*: Sage.
72. Mitchell, A. (2005). *The ESRI guide to GIS analysis, Volume 2: Spatial Measurements and Statistics*. Redlands: CA: Esri Press.
73. Mokhtar, A., & Al-Mjeini, A. (1999). A novel approach to determine the efficacy control measures against Dubas bug *Ommatissus lybicus* de Berg on date palm. *Agriculture Science*, 4, 1-4.
74. Mokhtar, A. M., Nabhani, S., & Saif, S. (2010). Temperature-dependent development of dubas bug, *Ommatissus lybicus* (Hemiptera: Tropicuchidae), an endemic pest of date palm, *Phoenix dactylifera*. *Eur. J. Entomol*, 107(4), 681-685.
75. Munar-Vivas, O., Morales-Osorio, J. G., & Castañeda-Sánchez, D. A. (2010). Use of field-integrated information in GIS-based maps to evaluate Moko disease (*Ralstonia solanacearum*) in banana growing farms in Colombia. *Crop Protection*, 29(9), 936-941.
76. Ord, J. K., & Getis, A. (1995). Local spatial autocorrelation statistics: distributional issues and an application. *Geographical analysis*, 27(4), 286-306.
77. Overmars, K., De Koning, G., & Veldkamp, A. (2003). Spatial autocorrelation in multi-scale land use models. *Ecological modelling*, 164(2), 257-270.
78. Park, Y.-L., & Obrycki, J. J. (2004). Spatio-temporal distribution of corn leaf aphids (Homoptera: Aphididae) and lady beetles (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) in Iowa cornfields. *Biological control*, 31(2), 210-217.
79. Payandeh, A., & Dehghan, A. (2013). Life table parameters of the dubas bug, *Ommatissus lybicus* (Hem: Tropicuchidae) at three constant temperatures. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 10(83), 19489-19493.
80. Payandeh, A., Kamali, K., & Fathipour, Y. (2010). Population structure and seasonal activity of *Ommatissus lybicus* in Bam Region of Iran (Homoptera: tropiduchidae). *Munis Entomol. Zool*, 5, 726-733.

81. Pearce, S., & Zalucki, M. P. (2006). Do predators aggregate in response to pest density in agroecosystems? Assessing within-field spatial patterns. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 43(1), 128-140.
82. Pinault, L. L., & Hunter, F. F. (2011). New highland distribution records of multiple *Anopheles* species in the Ecuadorian Andes. *Malaria journal*, 10(1), 236.
83. Rivera, D., Obón, C., Alcaraz, F., Carreño, E., Laguna, E., Amorós, A., . . . Morte, A. (2015). Date Palm Status and Perspective in Spain Date Palm Genetic Resources and Utilization (pp. 489-526): Springer.
84. Robertson, C., Wulder, M., Nelson, T., & White, J. (2008). Risk rating for mountain pine beetle infestation of lodgepole pine forests over large areas with ordinal regression modelling. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 256(5), 900-912.
85. Schools, E. H., Enander, H. D., Gehring, J. L., Klatt, B. J., Forgacs, C. A., & Inventory, M. N. F. (2012). Utilizing NEXRAD Weather Data and a Hotspot Analysis to Determine Bird Migration Concentration Areas.
86. Scott, D. W. (2015). *Multivariate density estimation: theory, practice, and visualization*: John Wiley & Sons.
87. Shafri, H. Z., & Hamdan, N. (2009). Hyperspectral Imagery for Mapping Disease Infection in Oil Palm Plantation Using Vegetation Indices and Red Edge Techniques. *American Journal of Applied Sciences*, 6(6), 1031.
88. Shah, A., Mohsin, A. U., Hafeez, Z., Naeem, M., & Haq, M. I. U. (2013). Eggs Distribution Behaviour of Dubas Bug (*Ommatissus Lybicus*: Homoptera: Tropiduchidae) in Relation to Seasons and Some Physico-Morphic Characters of Date Palm Leaves. *Journal of insect behavior*, 26(3), 371-386.
89. Shah, A., Naeem, M., Nasir, M. F., Irfan-ul-Haq, M., & Hafeez, Z. (2012). Biology of dubas bug, *Ommatissus lybicus* (Homoptera: Tropiduchidae), a pest on date palm during spring and summer seasons in Panjgur, Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Zoology*, 44(6), 1603-1611.
90. Silverman, B. W. (1986). *Density estimation for statistics and data analysis* (Vol. 26): CRC press.
91. Stansbury, C., & Pretorius, Z. (2001). Modelling the potential distribution of Karnal bunt of wheat in South Africa. *South African Journal of Plant and Soil*, 18(4), 159-168.
92. Tobin, P. C., Parry, D., & Aukema, B. H. (2014). The influence of climate change on insect invasions in temperate forest ecosystems Challenges and Opportunities for the World's Forests in the 21st Century (pp. 267-293): Springer.
93. Tsintikidis, D., Georgakakos, K. P., Sperflage, J. A., Smith, D. E., & Carpenter, T. M. (2002). Precipitation uncertainty and rain gauge network design within Folsom Lake watershed. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 7(2), 175-184.
94. Wang, F., & Hall, G. B. (1996). Fuzzy representation of geographical boundaries in GIS. *International journal of geographical information systems*, 10(5), 573-590.
95. Wang, Z., Li, D., Shang, H., & Cheng, J. (2001). Theories and methods of geostatistics and its their application in insect ecology. *Kunchong zhishi*/[*Zhongguo kun chong xue hui*], 39(6), 405-411.
96. Wickham, J. D., O'Neill, R. V., & Jones, K. B. (2000). Forest fragmentation as an economic indicator. *Landscape Ecology*, 15(2), 171-179.

97. Wiegand, T., & Moloney, K. (2004). Rings, circles, and null-models for point pattern analysis in ecology. *Oikos*, 104(2), 209-229.
98. Williams, D. W., & Birdsey, R. A. (2003). Historical patterns of spruce budworm defoliation and bark beetle outbreaks in North American conifer forests: an atlas and description of digital maps.
99. Workneh, F., Allen, T., Nash, G., Narasimhan, B., Srinivasan, R., & Rush, C. (2008). Rainfall and temperature distinguish between Karnal bunt positive and negative years in wheat fields in Texas. *Phytopathology*, 98(1), 95-100.
100. Worner, S., & Gevrey, M. (2006). Modelling global insect pest species assemblages to determine risk of invasion. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 43(5), 858-867.
101. Wulder, M. A., Dymond, C. C., White, J. C., Leckie, D. G., & Carroll, A. L. (2006). Surveying mountain pine beetle damage of forests: A review of remote sensing opportunities. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 221(1), 27-41.
102. Zhang, J., & Goodchild, M. F. (2002). *Uncertainty in geographical information*: CRC press.
103. Zhang, R., Leng, Y., Zhu, M., & Wang, F. (2007). [Spatial structure analysis and distribution simulation of *Therioaphis trifolii* population based on geostatistics and GIS]. *Ying yong sheng tai xue bao*= The journal of applied ecology/*Zhongguo sheng tai xue xue hui*, *Zhongguo ke xue yuan Shenyang ying yong sheng tai yan jiu suo zhu ban*, 18(11), 2580-2585.
104. Zhou, W., Wang, K., Zhao, C., & Zhang, Q.-p. (2012). Analysis of Spatial Pattern among Grasshopper and Vegetation in Heihe based on GIS. *Physics Procedia*, 33, 1261-1268.